

A Quarterly Update of LIFO - News, Views and Ideas

Volume 10, Number 1

Publisher: Willard J. De Filipps, C.P.A.

March 2000

### LIFO UPDATE

If you had called me personally to ask "What's happening lately with LIFO that I need to know about?"... Here's what I'd say:

#1. INDEX OF ALL ARTICLES IN LIFO LOOKOUT FROM 1991-1999 IS NOW AVAILABLE. With our last issue, we completed the 9th year of publishing the LIFO Lookout.

How time flies!

We have compiled an index of all articles in the Lookout through December, 1999. This Index of Articles has fourteen sections. In addition to listing all articles by subject, there are Finding Lists for all the tax cases, IRS Coordinated Issue Papers, Field Service Advice Memoranda, Letter Rulings (including TAMs), Revenue Rulings, Revenue Procedures and the PRACTICE GUIDES that have supplemented various articles. See pages 14-15 for an idea of what this index is like.

The easiest way to obtain a copy of the entire Index of Articles is to request via phone, fax or e-mail that the Word® document be sent via e-mail to you. Or, we can send you a copy by fax or U.S. mail immediately. As always, we appreciate any comments or constructive criticism you may have.

**#2.** OUR FIRST "CARTOON" IN 10 YEARS. A little humor once in a while shouldn't hurt. Is truth stranger — or funnier — than fiction? You be the judge.

One of our readers recently sent us a document request that was received from the IRS for a client under audit. If you've been sweating the conformity requirement for longer than you care to remember, you'll find something to smile about on page 36.

## #3. MOUNTAIN STATE FORD TRUCK SALES & THE USE OF REPLACEMENT COST FOR

PARTS INVENTORIES. The debate and uncertainty continues over whether dealers can use the replacement cost method for valuing their parts inventories. As you might expect, according to the IRS and the Tax Court, there shouldn't be any question at all. It's an open and shut case and the answer is ... "NO!"

<u>LOUROU LOURO INTO</u>
LIFO UPDATE
MOUNTAIN STATE FORD TRUCK SALES, INC.
& THE USE OF REPLACEMENT COST
FOR VALUING PARTS INVENTORIES
Some Recent Developments
FORMS 970 AND 3115
SELECTED RECENT HAPPENINGS
CONDITIONS FOR OBTAINING PERMISSION
TO MAKE AND FILE "LATE" ELECTIONS
THE IPIC METHOD DOES NOT PRECLUDE
LINK-CHAIN COMPUTATIONS
A Lock At Otio 1991-1999 hines of Apriles 14

I DOKOHT I DOKS INTO

Now, Mountain State Ford has filed its appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in Denver. A few other developments have occurred, and we'll try to bring you up-to-date on some of them on page 4.

New Item Report for 1999 Calendar Year Dealers

1999-2000 Models in Dec. 1999 Inventories

## #4. DO YOU REMEMBER CONSOLIDATED MANUFACTURING, INC. – THE CORE

**REMANUFACTURER?** In the September, 1998 *LIFO Lookout*, we discussed this case in detail. The IRS, with the approval of the Tax Court, disallowed the taxpayer's LIFO election because it had excluded certain inventory from its LIFO election.

The taxpayer chose to exclude used cores, used engines and other used parts from its LIFO election and valued them at scrap or salvage value. In the opinion of the IRS, Consolidated was trying to doubledip and get around the prohibition in the Regulations against taking writedowns on inventory that should have been placed on LIFO. So the Service threw out the LIFO election entirely, and the Tax Court agreed.

see LIFO UPDATE, page 2

Photocopying or Reprinting Without Permission Is Prohibited

Consolidated Manufacturing recently filed its appeal to the Tax Court's decision. Coincidentally, or ironically, its appeal was also filed to the 10<sup>th</sup> Circuit, and it seeks to overrule the decision in its case which was made by the same judge who decided the *Mountain State Ford* case. The Appellate brief filed by Consolidated makes for some very interesting reading.

Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc. has great significance in its own right...because of the definition of goods issue. And it has even broader significance as it relates to the use of components-of-cost methods by a universe of manufacturers. As if all of this is not enough, many parallels exist with the MSFTS-replacement cost issue because in Consolidated, the IRS challenged a long-standing industry practice followed by core remanufacturers, and the IRS was upheld by the Tax Court.

Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc. has beaten Mountain State Ford to the punch in filing an appeal to the 10th Circuit over a Tax Court decision involving a taxpayer who was consistently following a standard industry-wide practice.

It's obvious that the time frame that bears on how the MSFTS-replacement cost issue will be resolved by the Appeals Court is clearly impacted by the fact that the same Appeals Court will be hearing the appeal of Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc. **before** it hears and decides the MSFTS appeal.

So we're going to watch the *Consolidated* case carefully, because it has the triple play potential to knock out three classes of LIFO taxpayers with one swing of the gavel.

## #5. IRSPROHIBITS ANOTHER MANUFACTURER'S USE OF THE COMPONENTS-OF-COST

METHOD. There's still more on the debate over the use of the components-of-cost method. Note that this debate over whether C-O-C methods may be used is very much intertwined with the issues in the Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc. case which is now on appeal as noted above.

In Field Service Advice 200010009, dated Nov. 12, 1999, the IRS expressed its opposition to the use of a components-of-cost method which it said the manufacturer was not properly employing. The IRS said that the C-O-C method did not "clearly reflect income" because it did not take into account efficiency gains in labor and in overhead that the manufacturer had experienced.

For manufacturers who use C-O-C methods in their LIFO calculations, this adds to what some see

on the horizon as another major storm that could affect another large universe of taxpayers.

We will follow up on FSA 200010009 in a subsequent issue.

## #6. FORMS 970 AND 3115...SELECTED RECENT HAPPENINGS. On page 10, we've discussed a pair of Letter Rulings in which the IRS National Office granted extensions of time to file Forms 970 which should have been included in the initial year LIFO tax returns. For whatever reason, they had been overlooked.

In addition, we've pulled out a pair of ILMs which illustrate an interesting fact some folks may not be aware of: When a Form 3115 has been filed and it is withdrawn by the taxpayer, or the IRS issues an adverse holding, the District Director (Chief, Examination Division) is often alerted to this event by the National Office.

### **#7. LINK-CHAIN METHOD CAN BE USED WITH**

<u>IPIC METHOD</u>. Published Field Service Advice continues to provide interesting insights into the IRS thinking on some really technical LIFO questions. In FSA 200004008, the National Office FSA Group came out with a liberal interpretation that will be of interest to businesses using the <u>Inventory Price Index Computation</u> (i.e., the IPIC) method described in Reg. Sec. 1.472-8(e)(3).

In this FSA, the IRS allowed a retail grocer to use a weighted arithmetic mean developed from the endof-the-year inventory values in its calculations...and it also allowed the grocer to use the link-chain method in computing the LIFO value for its dollar-value pools. For more on this, see page 12.

## #8. MORE CHANGES IN PROCEDURES FOR REQUESTING CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING

METHODS. Revenue Procedure 99-49 issued late last year has updated procedures to be followed by taxpayers in obtaining automatic consent to change certain accounting methods. As a result, Revenue Procedure 98-60 has been modified, clarified, amplified, etc. as has been certain sections of Rev. Proc. 92-67 and 99-17.

These changes are effective for tax years ending on or after December 31, 1999, and special transition procedures are in place if change requests were pending in the National Office on the "magic date."

For LIFO taxpayers, there appear to be no overall or earth-shaking changes in the procedures, but some of the changes will affect certain taxpayers who have special circumstances.

 $\rightarrow$ 

**-**米

## #9. CONFUSION OVER PT CRUISER CLASSIFICATION FOR DEALERS USING THE ALTERNATIVE LIFO METHOD. IS

DaimlerChrysler's new *PT Cruiser* a car or a truck? The answer would make Darwin proud: It's both, depending on whose press clippings or news releases you're reading. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration have issued conflicting opinions/rulings on whether the PT Cruiser is a car or a truck.

According to the EPA, which is responsible for certifying that new vehicles are not going to pollute the atmosphere too much, the PT Cruiser is a <u>car</u>.

According to the NHTSA, which is responsible for overseeing the CAFE (Corporate Average Fuel Economy) rules, the PT Cruiser is a *truck*.

There's a lot at stake in the outcome because DaimlerChrysler is hopeful that its relatively fuel-efficient PT Cruiser will help it meet the minimum 20.7 miles per gallon requirement for its overall *truck* line. If D/C is allowed to treat the PT Cruiser as a truck for CAFE MPG computation purposes, the Cruiser's estimated 20-26 MPG would significantly off-set the far lower fuel-(in)efficiency of D/C's other trucks.

These conflicting classification rulings by the EPA and the NHTSA may also create some confusion for LIFO computations under the Alternative LIFO Method. The PT Cruiser either goes into the new autos pool or it goes into the new light-duty truck pool.

The determination for Alternative LIFO Method pooling purposes should follow the standard industry

classification, rather than that made by special Federal agencies.

Our feeling is that D/C's Neon-based PT Cruiser belongs in the new automobiles pool for LIFO purposes... but, stay tuned...we're flexible.

Incidentally, we have always found the German language to be full of challenging and tricky pronunciations, umlauts and symbols. A friend fluent in German recently told us that since the merger of Daimler and Chrysler, the preferred pronunciation for the resulting new name — DaimlerChrysler — is to stress the "Daimler" and that the "Chrysler" is silent. (See the first sentence in Update, #2.)

### #10. NEW ITEMS FOR DECEMBER 31, 1999 YEAR-END LIFO COMPUTATIONS ...

1997-1998-1999 COMPARATIVE LISTS. We are pleased to continue a regular *LIFO Lookout* annual feature...the presentation of our "new items" list for new item categories under the Alternative LIFO Method. Unfortunately, at this time, we are unable to compare our new items lists with a similar list compiled by the IRS.

Our current new items list begins on page 18, and we have also included our own new item determinations for the comparable previous two years ending December 31, 1997 and 1998. This will give you an idea of the extent of the changes by make and by model over the three-year period ending December 31, 1999.

These lists are prefaced on pages 16-17 by a few comments and observations. We'd appreciate knowing if you have any strong feelings about some of our new item conclusions.

De Filipps' LIFO LOOKOUT  Willard J. De Filipps, CPA, P.C.  317 West Prospect Avenue Mt. Prospect, IL 60056  (847) 577-3977 FAX (847) 577-1073  INTERNET: http://www.defilipps.com	Published Quarterly March, June, September and December \$395
Start my subscription for the next four issues of the LIFO Lookout with the _	issue.
Back Issues of the LIFO Lookout are available for \$70 each. Please send me	e:
2000:	4Q (Dec '99) 4Q (Dec '98)
Prior years 1991 through 1997 also available	
NAME(S):	
FIRM NAME:	
ADDRESS:	
CITY: STATE: ZIP:PHONE: (	)

## MOUNTAIN STATE FORD TRUCK SALES, INC. & THE USE OF REPLACEMENT COST FOR VALUING PARTS INVENTORIES



The controversy continues – some would say it has even intensified – over whether dealers can use replacement costs for valuing their parts inventories. According to the IRS and the Tax Court, dealers have to use AC (actual cost) and not RC (replacement cost) for valuing their parts inventories.

Readers of this publication are aware that I have expressed my opinion that the judge was wrong ... and that ... NADA really shouldn't be helping the IRS clean up its own mess. Without wanting to sound "Zieglerian" (those of you who read Dealer Magazine know what I mean by this), I felt all along that NADA really should stand firm against the IRS, and fight it tooth and nail ... instead of submitting proposals that try to get around what the judge said in her opinion. After all, what if Mountain State were to appeal ... and win! What if the Appeals Court reverses the Tax Court and applies more common sense than did the IRS and the Tax Court in dealing with this matter?

I recently expressed guarded optimism that the IRS and the Tax Court might be forced to back off their opposition to the use of replacement cost. Part of that optimism was based on the Appeal Court's decision in *LaCrosse Footwear* as a "timely illustration of common sense prevailing when a higher Court reverses the error in a lower Court's decision" (see Update item #5, September, 1999 *LIFO Lookout*).

That said, several current developments involving MSFTS can now be reported.

### APPEALS TO THE 10<sup>™</sup> CIRCUIT

First, Mountain State Ford Truck Sales has appealed the Tax Court's decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in Denver. Second – but really ahead of MSFTS if any kind of time-line can be laid over things like this – is the fact that Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc. has also filed an appeal to the 10th Circuit over the Tax Court's decision (by the same judge) in its case where Consolidated was using another standard industry-wide practice which had some 40 years of acceptance behind it.

As was observed on page 2, the *Consolidated Manufacturing* case is important because of the definition of *goods* issue...and because it relates to the use of components-of-cost methods by a universe of manufacturers. In addition, many parallels exist with the *MSFTS*-parts replacement cost issue

because in *Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc.*, the IRS upset another industry-wide practice and was supported by the Tax Court.

Accordingly, it's obvious that the time frame that bears on how the MSFTS-replacement cost issue will be resolved by the Appeals Court is clearly impacted by the fact that the same Appeals Court will be hearing the appeal of Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc. before it hears and decides the MSFTS appeal.

### **NADA PROPOSALS**

In the meantime, NADA has submitted a series of proposals for consideration by the IRS. These proposals suggest "compromise" methods which would permit an actual cost-mutation (i.e., reasonable approximation or estimation) based on a rather general and unstratified computation of the estimated overall inventory turn. It appears the IRS is at least not completely rejecting these proposals out-of-hand. After all, even the IRS by now knows that there is no way to compute actual cost for a parts inventory.

Readers can obtain copies of NADA's *Draft Proposals For Consideration in Implementing the U.S. Tax Court's Decision in the Mountain States* (sic) *Ford Case* directly from NADA. As mentioned above, NADA proposals essentially would compute a single overall average parts inventory turnover rate as the key component in an adjustment to reduce the replacement cost valuation of the parts inventory to its surrogate cost equivalent. This draft proposal bears a February 4, 2000 date.

In addition, NADA has also suggested that dealerships using LIFO for their parts inventories be permitted to file a Form 3115 to elect to terminate their LIFO elections for their parts inventories. In connection with this proposal, NADA urged that (1) dealers not be required to obtain permission from the IRS to terminate further use of the LIFO method for parts, and (2) dealers be permitted to continue using LIFO for any inventories of new and/or used vehicles for which LIFO elections are currently in place.

In a separate submission to the IRS, NADA suggested that the IRS include the resolution of this issue on its list of items for guidance in the year 2000.

### IRS PRIORITY GUIDANCE PLAN FOR THE YEAR 2000

The IRS recently released its IRS 2000 Priority Guidance Plan for Tax Regulations and Other Ad-

-梁

### Mountain State Ford Truck Sales, Inc...

ministrative Guidance. This was issued as a joint statement by Jonathan Talisman, Acting Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) U.S. Department of the Treasury and Charles O. Rossotti, Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service.

Messrs. Talisman and Rossotti describe the *Priority Guidance Plan* as "Quite ambitious," since it contains 243 guidance projects. They also explain that the *Plan* should not be viewed as an *exclusive* list of the guidance that may be published this year.

The IRS 2000 Priority Guidance Plan is divided into several sections including: (1) Consolidated returns, (2) Corporations and their shareholders, (3) Employee benefits, (4) Partnerships, (5) Sub-chapter S, (6) Tax accounting and (7) Tax administration. The "Tax accounting" division includes a list of 21 items. The 19th entry is: "Proposed regulations under section 472 regarding the dollar-value LIFO inventory method," and the 20th entry is "Guidance under section 472 regarding valuation under the LIFO inventory method."

Apparently, the valuation of parts inventories using replacement cost issue comes in under the 20<sup>th</sup> entry (or maybe the 19<sup>th</sup>). However, nowhere is the use of *replacement cost* mentioned specifically.

It is interesting to note that the National Office intends to confine or limit its attention to the use of replacement cost in the more narrow context of the LIFO regulations, rather than in the broader context of the overall Section 471 inventory regulations where Judge Chiechi said it belonged. Could it be that Treasury is fearful of huge refunds as other industries reduce replacement cost valuations to actual cost?

## ANOTHER POSSIBLE REMEDY ... LIKE THE NOTRE DAME HAIL MARY PASS

Some of you might be wondering: "Well, De Filipps, if you're so strongly set against what NADA is trying to do, what have you done lately ... have you put your money where your mouth is?" The answer is a "yes" of sorts. However, I have applied my efforts in the direction of trying to convince the Office of the Citizens Taxpayer Advocate that it would be appropriate to seek a legislative remedy to this problem by including it as one of the items on the annual list that the Taxpayer Advocate is empowered to submit directly to Congress ... without first getting any IRS opinion on whether or not the change might be warranted.

Apparently, there is something about the consequence of the fox guarding the hen house that Congress, in its infinite wisdom, sought to avoid when it empowered the Taxpayer Advocate to bring

(Continued)

directly to its attention those matters which were deemed appropriate for this "fast track" approach. On page 6, you'll find our letter to Mr. Val Oveson pleading the case.

## WHERE HAVE SOME PEOPLE BEEN ALL THIS TIME?

Some IRS personnel have attempted to justify the slow pace of IRS deliberation on this issue by stating that "the Service" was just now realizing how significant and widespread the use of the replacement cost method really is.

Readers of this publication know that over 5 years ago, we called your attention to this fact of life. The widespread impact of this decision—both dealer industry-wide, and as it extends to other industries—comes as no surprise to anyone working out in the real world. For what we said back then, see page 9.

### **CONFERENCE REMARKS**

At recent gatherings and conferences, representatives of the IRS, NADA and others have presented their views on the replacement cost issue. Audio tapes of the AICPA Conference in Las Vegas last October are available to anyone who cares to buy and listen to them.

Most interesting was the statement by Leslie J. Schneider, the attorney who represented *Mountain State Ford* in the Tax Court. He is acknowledged by many as the foremost LIFO authority in the country. Here's what he said: "...Nobody in America is using actual cost...and this case (i.e., *MSFTS*) was decided on a lack of understanding of that fact."

At the same conference, within minutes, here's a paraphrasing of what Mary Baker of the IRS said: "...The Service can't look at the issues in a vacuum ... they have to be looked at across the board," and the IRS mission of fairness and consistency to all taxpayers has to be taken into consideration in any resolution. (O.K....apply it to EVERYBODY.)

### DON'T HOLD YOUR BREATH

Why should the IRS National Office have any reason to be in a hurry to placate NADA or others using replacement cost until it knows whether the Tax Court's decision will stand up in the Appeals Court? Right now, it enjoys the upper hand. So, why should it concede anything? ... unless it thinks it will lose at Appeals... in not just one case, but two.

We all know this will take years to play out while all the lawyers do their jobs...unless Congress chooses to quickly put an end to things by simply changing the law.



### 317 WEST PROSPECT AVENUE

MT. PROSPECT, ILLINOIS 60056

PHONE (847) 577-3977

FAX (847) 577-1073

http://www.defilipps.com cpawjd@aol.com

February 16, 2000

Mr. W. Val Oveson Taxpayer Advocate Service 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW Room 3017 Washington, D.C. 20224

Dear Mr. Oveson:

Per my conversation with Duane Thomas last week (February 10), I am writing about a matter that I believe warrants action by you and your Advocacy Group on behalf of the more than 25,000 automobile and truck dealers in the United States

### AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROBLEM

This matter has to do with the significantly disruptive consequences of a case recently decided in the Tax Court, Mountain State Ford Truck Sales. In this case, the Tax Court upheld the IRS in disallowing the use of the replacement cost method for valuing the taxpayer's parts and accessories inventories. Although this case involved a very technical LIFO (Last-In, First-Out) inventory election, it will directly affect every one of the 25,000+ automobile and truck dealers in the United States.

All automobile and truck dealers are affected by this uncertainty over how Internal Revenue Code Section 471 should be interpreted. This is because every one has always been forced to use a replacement cost (manufacturers' price list) method for approximating the cost of their parts and accessories inventories. These inventories typically consist of several thousand parts, and no dealer has ever been able to compute actual cost for its parts inventory. Therefore, all dealers will be directly affected regardless of whether or not they use LIFO for valuing their parts inventories. This is clear from what the Tax Court said in Mountain State Ford in its analysis of Sections 471 and 472.

Although this adverse decision may be appealed by the taxpayer, the Internal Revenue Service has indicated that it will continue to raise this issue in ongoing audits of automobile and truck dealers.

All of the confusion, business disruption and excessive accounting costs to comply with this holding can be avoided very simply - if you will ask Congress to make the necessary clarification to Code Section 471. That clarification would be to affirmatively allow or permit the use of replacement cost for valuing the parts and accessories inventories of these dealers.

### NO DEALER HAS EVER BEEN ABLE TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENT TO USE ACTUAL COST FOR PARTS

In this case, the Court pointed out that when Mountain State Ford adopted the LIFO method, it made no attempt to determine whether it "could have" modified its perpetual inventory recordkeeping system so that it could have used invoice prices (i.e., actual cost) in valuing the parts inventory. Also, Mountain State Ford did not determine whether it could have created a new recordkeeping system. One of the Mountain State owners testified that replacement cost had been utilized by Mountain State previously, and that it did not consider using any other method than replacement cost when it elected the LIFO method.

If Mountain State Ford had initiated the inquiries suggested by the Court, it would only have been confronted with the obvious: No such method or software for determining actual cost existed at that time. Nor does it yet today, some 20 years later. Since the technology and/or other means to do the job did not exist, how can the taxpayer be faulted for not wasting time and money trying to find them?

(continued)



The National Automobile Dealers Association (NADA) and the IRS during the last two and one-half years still have not been able to find, ferret out or come up with a single automobile or truck dealer in the country who has been able to use the actual cost method for parts inventories. In the whole haystack, there is no needle to be found! It simply doesn't exist!

This fact certainly vindicates Mountain State Ford - and all others like it - and it confirms without any doubt that the only "decision" Mountain State Ford could have reached was to continue to use replacement cost in connection with making its LIFO election. It is interesting to note that before this case arose, the IRS in a 1975 Letter Ruling simply recognized the need for consistency in the application of the replacement cost method between the last non-LIFO year parts inventory valuation and the first LIFO year valuation; it did not quarrel with the use of the replacement cost method.

### ALL CONTRACTS WITH MANUFACTURERS REQUIRE THE USE OF REPLACEMENT COST FOR VALUING PARTS INVENTORIES

Another real-world problem has been totally overlooked by the IRS. It, too, is likely to create additional significant confusion. If replacement cost is really going to become the only acceptable industry-wide standard for income tax purposes, what is to be done about the fact that all manufacturers currently require all automobile and truck dealers to use replacement cost for parts in their accounting systems, procedures and financial statement reporting?

Imagine the confusion and wasteful effort if two methods are now mandated for dealers' parts inventory accounting: One required by the Internal Revenue Service for income tax purposes, and the other required by the manufacturers for financial statement reporting purposes.

The Tax Court did not address the questions of whether the use of replacement costs under the LIFO method complies with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and conforms as nearly as may be to the best accounting practice in the industry. Note 6 in the Mountain State Ford decision explained that "the Court's resolution of the disagreement between the parties about the clear reflection of income standard makes it unnecessary for us (i.e., the Tax Court) to address the parties' and their respective experts' dispute over GAAP."

For as long as these tax Code sections have been in existence, replacement cost has been the "only" accounting practice that the industry has used ... or been able to use ... for parts inventories. This has again been consistently and conclusively demonstrated over the last two years in all the intense discussions between the IRS and the industry representatives. I was one of the expert witness in the Mountain State Ford case and testified that, in my opinion, the use of replacement cost for valuing parts inventories constituted (i.e., was in accordance with) Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

### ACCEPTANCE BY THE IRS FOR DECADES, NOW "SUDDENLY" A CHANGE OF MIND ... WHY?

Until the Mountain State Ford case emerged, it is clear that over the past 25 years, the IRS generally found no reason to make a mountain out of a molehill over a dealer's use of the replacement cost method for parts inventories.

Documents now available under the Freedom of Information Act show that for almost 25 years the IRS National Office and the IRS Field Service Division have, in practice, allowed dealers to use replacement cost for parts inventories, knowing full-well this was not an actual cost method.

As mentioned above, in 1975, the IRS had no problem with the replacement cost method as evidenced by Letter Ruling 7503130350B. Almost 15 years later, it repeated the same acceptance in Technical Advice Memorandum 8906001. More recently (circa 1992), even the IRS Field Service Division said that it would accept the use of replacement cost in its Field Service Advice 1999-501.

### CONGRESSIONAL INTENT: WHAT DID CONGRESS REALLY MEAN? WHO REALLY KNOWS?

The issue addressed by the Tax Court narrowed down to: Does cost mean actual cost in each and every instance, wherever that term is used? Without any clear manifestation of Congressional intent on this specific question, I believe the Court erred and that it should have given greater deference to a more reasonable assumption of Congressional intent that would permit the use of the replacement cost method under the circumstances.

The Court said that "If Congress had intended for the term cost in LIFO inventory tax accounting to have a meaning different from that regulatory definition (i.e., actual cost), it would have so stated." With all due respect, I do not think this issue should be decided based on that technicality when no taxpayer in America has ever been able to use the more

(continued)

Photocopying or Reprinting Without Permission Is Prohibited



exacting method the IRS advocates. Isn't the IRS position on this totally unrealistic and out-of-touch with the business world customers the IRS is supposed to be interested in serving?

I believe that Congress - in the overall scheme of things - never even remotely considered the ramifications of the application of an actual cost standard in the context of the fact pattern presented by a dealer's parts inventory. If it had, I believe that Congress would have been more pragmatic and flexible...and less perfectionistic and absolute...than the Court inferred them to be. After all, the entire industry from its inception has been unable to come up with a reasonable way to make those calculations. Furthermore, the replacement cost method was being used by every dealer in the Country at the very time when Congress was writing the Code sections which the IRS and the Tax Court are now trying to interpret. Shouldn't that fact be given any weight?

### THE IRS & THE TAX COURT'S UNREALISTIC PURSUIT OF PERFECTION

The Tax Court in Mountain State Ford Truck Sales also said that, until Congress changes the Internal Revenue Code, actual cost must be used for valuing parts inventories. Even some individuals in the IRS appear to be a little uncomfortable with this because the Judge said that the Service has no alternative but to enforce the Code as it is written (based on her interpretation).

In Note 12 to the decision, the Court put the IRS in the Pandora's box that, all by itself, it chose to open. In part, Note 12 says that the "Respondent (i.e., the IRS Commissioner) has no discretion to deviate from the requirements of the Code and the Regulations even if such requirements were to impose administrative burdens on Mountain State Ford." Well, if that really is the case...by that I mean, if the taxpayer appeals and loses at Appeals, then wouldn't a more sensible, long-term course of action be for NADA or some other group of dealers to lobby Congress and request it to abandon this unrealistic requirement? (Or to seek intervention on their behalf by the Taxpayer Advocate Service?)

With the use of replacement cost now ruled out, the IRS is pushing for software to be created for dealers so they can value their parts inventories at actual cost. In this regard, the National Automobile Dealers Association (NADA) recently submitted several alternative computational suggestions to the IRS. All of these "suggested approaches" fall short of the mark ... and do not comply with the Tax Court's ruling. In fact, the more practical NADA's suggestion, the less in compliance it is with the Court's ruling.

Requesting Congress to make a simple change in the law makes more sense than forcing all taxpayers in many industries to wait for software to be developed. Any software developed will ultimately, at best, only be able to come up with more refined estimates of actual costs ... under the pretense of really tracking actual costs. Furthermore, the business of developing software today consists of releasing programs that its creators hope will work and then debugging them later as complaints over what the programs are not doing correctly come (pouring in) from the users. So, in the end, pinning one's hopes on "new software" is not likely to produce the perfect results demanded by the Tax Court's interpretation of the law.

### IN CONCLUSION

The lawyers for the IRS and for NADA are too closely involved with the technicalities to see the forest for the trees. Any rational bystander considering the broader perspective can see that this is a controversy (over a technicality) that is wasteful to pursue.

I heard you speak recently (January 11) at the Illinois CPA Society Tax Practice and Procedures Conference in Rosemont, IL. I was very impressed with what you said about your duties and your ability as National Tax Advocate to go directly to Congress with recommendations for changes in the Internal Revenue Code in cases where you believe such changes are in the best interest of the tax collection system.

A simple change in the Code by Congress could immediately end this relentless pursuit of perfection by the IRS (and now the Tax Court) over a really minor technicality. All Congress would have to do is to make a simple clarification to exclude the requirement to use actual cost from applying to automobile and truck dealer parts inventories. This would solve the problems and eliminate years of uncertainty for the 25,000 auto and truck dealers on whose behalf I am writing.

I have enclosed several articles to provide additional background on this issue:

1. The Mountain State Ford Tax Court decision (from the March, 1999 LIFO Lookout, pages 3-14.)

2. Information showing the IRS acceptance of replacement cost in the past (from the June, 1999 LIFO Lookout, pages 24-27.)

3. An article expressing my opinion on the confusion created by the *Mountain State Ford* Tax Court decision (from the June, 1999 LIFO Lookout, pages 20-23.)

(continued)

A Quarterly Update of LIFO - News, Views and Ideas



4. An analysis of the IRS Technical Advice Memorandum issued in 1994 (LTR 9433004) which preceded the Tax Court case (from the Sept., 1994 LIFO Lookout, pages 3-8.)

I would be pleased to discuss this matter with you or any of your representatives at your convenience.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this critical matter which affects a broad universe of U.S. taxpayers for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Willard J. De Filipps, CPA

### **OUR WARNING OVER 5 YEARS AGO**

### ABOUT THE IMPACT OF THE REPLACEMENT COST ISSUE \*

"One currently brewing development may surprise thousands of businesses using replacement cost accounting for their parts-type inventories. Major users of replacement cost include distributors and wholesalers of plumbing, electrical, heating, air conditioning, lighting and a long list of other suppliers. In addition, the development will affect thousands more using replacement cost accounting in some form for relatively small parts inventories. And many of these businesses may not even be using LIFO to defer their year-end taxes.

"We see this as an issue of potentially *Thor*-type magnitude. If a relatively small number of LIFO taxpayers are disadvantaged temporarily by the IRS' successful attack on the use of replacement cost, thousands of other non-LIFO taxpayers will be able to file claims for refunds or Forms 3115 to change inventory valuation methods consistent with the Service's disallowance of the use of replacement cost for parts-type inventories.

"Here is the general fact pattern: Most businesses do not value their parts-type inventories at year-end - or at any other time for that matter - by using specific cost or even perpetual cost accounting information. That is simply not practical. Instead, they use a replacement cost approach for valuing their inventories which consist of many thousands of items by reference to manufacturer price lists in effect at the end of the year or by reference to the most recent vendor invoice cost, regardless of the quantity purchased (and in some cases, even regardless of whether there were any purchases of that item during the year). In inflationary times, inventories valued using replacement cost accounting are somewhat overstated, and this means income taxes are being paid in advance.

"The current challenge by the IRS to the use of replacement costs in LIFO situations is not limited to the narrower issue of whether replacement cost is appropriate as an "other" method for valuing increments. Instead, the issue has been broadened more technically to question whether the use of replacement cost is acceptable for income tax purposes, notwithstanding its acceptance for Generally Accepted Accounting Purposes (GAAP) and its consistent use by all businesses within a taxpayer's particular industry.

"It is our understanding that a Letter Ruling/TAM will soon be published involving a truck dealer's use of replacement cost for valuing inventories which will essentially knock out that accounting method and require that some other "actual" cost method be used instead. Upon publication under the Freedom of Information Act, this TAM will be thoroughly analyzed. ... (Note: this came to pass in LTR/TAM 9433004).

### COMMON SENSE & PRACTICALITY VS. 'TECHNICALITY'

"The Use Of "Replacement Cost" By Entire Industries Of Distributors, Wholesalers And Retailers To The Extent Of Their Parts Inventories Has Tremendous Overall Implications. ...

"If the IRS prevails in its technical challenges to the use of replacement cost instead of actual cost, there may be a lot of work and even more Forms 3115 to be filed. Almost 15 years ago, Alan Silver said that 'a good case could be made that the Treasury would sooner give up 95% of its principles than 5% of its income.' If the IRS 'wins the war' on this issue, somebody in Congress may ask them if they ever thought that winning this argument was going to cost the Treasury so much money in the long run!"

\*Reprinted from the LIFO LOOKOUT June, 1994 (Vol. 4, No. 2, pg. 4).



### FORMS 970 AND 3115...SELECTED RECENT HAPPENINGS

Four recent IRS documents illustrate some basics that are often overlooked. In two letter rulings, the National Office granted taxpayers extensions of time to file Forms 970 which should have been included in the initial LIFO return years...but were not. Two other IRS Legal Memorandums involving 3115s filed with the National Office show how the IRS is quick to coordinate certain information back to the taxpayers' District Director.

## EXTENSIONS GRANTED TO FILE OVERLOOKED FORMS 970

LTR 200003036 (dated October 26, 1999) involved a situation where the taxpayer transferred assets, including inventory, to a newly formed partnership. Immediately before the transfer, the transferor had been using the LIFO inventory method. After the transfer, the transferee began to use the LIFO method for certain inventory items, including items transferred to it which previously were under the LIFO method. However, the transferee-partnership failed to attach a Form 970 to its initial partnership return. As we know, the filing of Form 970 is required to properly elect to use the LIFO method.

Subsequently, the partnership decided to file a Form 3115 to request a change in accounting method. During the process of preparing the Form 3115, it was determined that the Form 970 had not been attached to its initial partnership return. "This failure was not intentional, but was an oversight resulting from a change in personnel responsible for the preparation and review" of the initial tax return. Soon after discovering this oversight, the taxpayer filed a request for relief and an extension of time to file Form 970.

The other favorable ruling is LTR 200005024 dated Nov. 8, 1999. This one involved a parentsubsidiary corporate group that failed to file Form 970 in the initial tax return filed by the subsidiary. The parent corporation had transferred various assets, including inventory, to its subsidiary in a non-taxable transfer under Code Section 351. Before the transfer, the parent had accounted for a portion of its inventory using the LIFO method. Following the transfer, the subsidiary continued to use the LIFO method for a portion of its inventory, including the items transferred to it by the parent which the parent previously had on LIFO. However, the parent failed to attach a Form 970 to its consolidated Federal income tax return for the year for the subsidiary to properly elect to use the LIFO method.

Revenue Ruling 70-564 holds that a new corporation that acquires inventory in a Section 351 trans-

fer must file a Form 970 in order to adopt the LIFO inventory method.

The parent corporation had an internal tax department responsible for the preparation and filing of its own corporate tax returns without review by an outside tax advisor. During a discussion of various tax matters with its outside tax advisor, the parent corporation determined that it should have filed a Form 970 on behalf of its subsidiary when the initial return for the subsidiary (which had been included as part of the consolidated return) was filed.

"This failure to file was not intentional, but was due to the fact that its internal tax personnel were not aware that a new subsidiary that acquires LIFO inventory in a Section 351 transaction must file a Form 970 to enable it to use the LIFO method. Soon after discovery of the need to file a Form 970, this request for relief was submitted" to the IRS National Office.

## THE LESSONS FROM THESE "OVERLOOKED 970" STORIES ARE SIMPLE

Both requests for extension had been filed under Regulation Section 301.9100. Under these LTRs, the taxpayers were granted extensions of time for a period of 30 days from the date of the IRS extension letter and were instructed to attach a copy of the ruling to the Form 970 when it was filed.

Don't ignore the very important requirement that Forms 970 need to be filed in almost every case. Further, in a situation where the Form 970 has been overlooked, remedial action should be taken immediately upon discovery. Revenue Procedure 92-85 applies in certain situations for bringing the situation to the attention of the IRS. Where Rev. Proc. 92-85 does not apply, Reg. Sec. 301.9100 takes over. (See facing page.)

It is instructive to observe the circumstances under which the oversights were discovered. In one case, the missing 970 was discovered years later in connection with filing Form 3115 with the IRS. In the other, the missing 970 became apparent in discussions with an outside tax advisor. Often where there is a change in CPA firms, the new CPA firm discovers the Form 970 oversight in reviewing the previous CPA's LIFO work.

Practitioners may want to adopt a simple internal policy that takes only a few seconds to implement. Simply require the signer of any tax return involving a LIFO election to "eye ball" a copy of the previously filed Form 970. Or, if the return is the initial tax return making a LIFO election, require the person signing

Photocopying or Reprinting Without Permission Is Prohibited

A Quarterly Update of LIFO - News, Views and Ideas

### Forms 970 and 3115...Selected Recent Happenings

the tax return to also initial and date the copy of the Form 970 which is included in the copy of the tax return to be retained in the files.

## DON'T COUNT ON FORM 3115 INFORMATION FALLING BETWEEN THE CRACKS

Often, things go on behind the scenes at the IRS and we're not aware of them. Two recent ILMs (IRS Legal Memorandums) illustrate one such behind-the-scenes process. Where requests for permission to change accounting methods are filed in the National Office, and they are either denied or withdrawn by the taxpayer (to avoid an adverse ruling), the District Director is usually notified or alerted to that fact.

In ILM 200003024 (dated October 22, 1999), the taxpayer withdrew the request for a change in accounting method because of "the long delay in processing the Form 3115." At the time the taxpayer withdrew its request for change, the ILM states "we had not formed a tentative position on taxpayer's proposed change ... However, ... we had advised taxpayer's authorized representative by letter dated (xx) that we had concerns about whether these

### (Continued)

corrections are a change in method of accounting under Section 446(e) ..."

In contrast to the withdrawal situation, in ILM 199952010, the taxpayer's request for a change in method was simply denied.

Section 8.07(2)(a) of Revenue Procedure 99-1 provides: "Request to change an accounting method. If a taxpayer withdraws or the National Office declines to grant (for any reason) a request to change from or to adopt an improper method of accounting, the National Office will notify, by memorandum, the appropriate District Director and the Change in Method Issue Specialist, and may give its views on the issues in the request to the appropriate District Director to consider in any later examination of the return."

Both ILMs cited this Section as the basis for the action taken by the National Office. Each ILM was addressed to the *District Director: Attention Chief, Examination Division* where the taxpayer filed its return.

You can draw your own conclusions about what the District Director may do with this information. \*\*

## CONDITIONS FOR OBTAINING PERMISSION TO MAKE & FILE "LATE" ELECTIONS \*

Under Reg. Sec. 301.9100-(c), the Commissioner has discretion to grant a reasonable extension of the time to make a regulatory election under all subtitles of the Code except subtitles E, G, H, and I, provided that the taxpayer acted reasonably and in good faith...and granting relief will not prejudice the interests of the Government. A regulatory election is an election whose due date is prescribed by a regulation published in the Federal Register, or a revenue ruling, revenue procedure, notice, or announcement published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin. An election for this purpose includes a request to adopt, change or retain an accounting method or accounting period.

Reg. Sec. 301.9100-2 set forth rules governing automatic extensions for regulatory elections. If these provisions do not apply to a taxpayer's situation, the provisions of Reg. Sec. 301.9100-3 may apply.

Reg. Sec. 301.9100-3 sets forth the standards that the Commissioner will use in determining whether to grant an extension of time to make a regulatory election. It also sets forth information and representations that must be furnished by the taxpayer to enable the Internal Revenue Service to determine whether the taxpayer has satisfied these standards. The standards to be applied in this case are whether the taxpayer acted reasonably and in good faith and whether granting relief would prejudice the interests of the Government.

Under Reg. Sec. 301.9100-3(b)(1)(i), a taxpayer that applies for relief for failure to make an election before the failure is discovered by the Service ordinarily will be deemed to have acted reasonably and in good faith. However, pursuant to Reg. Sec. 301.9100-3(b)(3) a taxpayer will not be considered to have acted reasonably and in good faith if the taxpayer seeks to alter a return position for which an accuracy-related penalty has been or could be imposed under Section 6662 at the time the taxpayer requests relief and the new position requires or permits a regulatory election for which relief is requested or if the taxpayer was informed in all material respects of the required election and related tax consequences but chose not to file the election. Furthermore, a taxpayer ordinarily will not be considered to have acted reasonably and in good faith if the taxpayer uses hindsight in requesting relief.

Reg. Sec. 301.9100-3(c)(1)(i), provides that the interests of the Government are prejudiced if granting relief would result in a taxpayer having a lower tax liability in the aggregate for all tax years affected by the regulatory election than the taxpayer would have had if the election had been timely made (taking into account the time value of money). Likewise, if the tax consequences of more than one taxpayer are affected by the election, the Government's interests are prejudiced if extending the time for making the election may result in the affected taxpayers, in the aggregate, having a lower tax liability than if the election had been timely made.

Further, the interests of the Government are ordinarily prejudiced if the tax year in which the regulatory election should have been made or any tax years that would have been affected by the election had it been timely made are closed by the period of limitations on assessment under Section 6501(a) before the taxpayer's receipt of a ruling granting relief under Reg. Sec. 301.9100.

### \* NOTE: SEE ALSO REVENUE PROCEDURE 92-85



### THE IPIC METHOD DOES NOT PRECLUDE LINK-CHAIN COMPUTATIONS... **FSA PROVIDES SOME ANSWERS**



If you think the IPIC method is a fast and simple no-brainer, free of unresolved technical issues, you might want to think again. Among some of the issues are (1) whether arithmetic, harmonic or geometric mean values should be used in the computations, (2) whether double-extension or link-chain sub-methods should be employed in computing the LIFO value of the dollar-value pools, and (3) just how do you go about computing a "cost compliment?"

Another glitch frequently encountered by IPIC users was the subject of Update Item #7 (LIFO Lookout, September, 1998) which concerned what should be done when the BLS decides it's time to revise its CPI or PPI indexes. This was the subject of Revenue Procedure 98-49.

Despite these and other nettlesome irritations, the IRS generally prefers that taxpayers on LIFO use the IPIC method because at least the taxpayers can't bias or tinker with the computation of the inflation indexes because they are computed by, and come straight from, the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

The Commissioner will (1) accept the IPIC method as an appropriate method for computing LIFO indexes and (2) accept the results obtained under the IPIC method as being accurate, reliable and suitable.

### A FEW MORE BASICS

First, the abbreviation IPIC stands for the socalled Inventory Price Index Computation method described in Reg. Sec. 1.472-8(e)(3). Second, the taxpayer in this case was not an eligible small business that could use the Simplified Dollar-Value Method provided for in Section 474.

A taxpayer is an eligible small business for any taxable year if its average gross receipts for the three preceding years do not exceed \$5,000,000. What that really meant was that since the taxpayer was not an eligible small business, it could not use 100% of the BLS index. It could only use 80% of the BLS index.

Under the IPIC method, inventory price indexes are computed with reference to Consumer or Producer Price Indexes. The inventory items in each pool are classified according to the detailed listings in the appropriate tables of either the Consumer Price Index or Producer Price Index. The inventory items are assigned to various categories, and index categories are assigned to a pool or pools. Published

indexes and weights are used to compute the appropriate index for each index category. After that, an index is computed for the pool.

The key to properly using the IPIC method is that in computing the overall index for a pool, the taxpayer must weight the appropriate indexes for the separate index categories comprising the pool according to the taxpayer's actual inventory weights for such separate index categories.

### FSA 200004008

In recent Field Service Advice 200004008 dated October 12, 1999, the FSA Group liberally interpreted the IPIC regulations for a retail grocer. The IRS allowed the taxpayer to use a weighted arithmetic mean developed from the end-of-the-year inventory values in its calculations...and it also allowed the use of the link-chain method in computing the LIFO value for the dollar-value pools.

In this situation, the IRS had previously allowed the taxpayer to change its LIFO method to the IPIC method using the Consumer Price Indexes (CPI). Its calculations under that method used weighted arithmetic means in the process. In making its calculations under the IPIC method, the taxpayer first computed inflation by category by dividing the current year's CPI (after adjustments) by the beginning-ofthe-year CPI (also after adjustments). The taxpaver then multiplied the resulting inflation for the category by the dollars in ending inventory for that category.

After that, the taxpayer added the results for all of its inventory categories and then divided the total inventory at current costs by the sum of this multiplication in order to arrive at the current year's index for the pool. This seems simple and straight-forward enough: the taxpayer was computing a "weighted arithmetic mean" based on end-of-the-year costs.

The general rules for dollar-value LIFO calculations require the double-extension of item categories and under LIFO methods that compute an internal index (double-extension or link-chain), the index computation procedure "automatically produces an appropriately weighted pool index." The FSA observes that when a taxpayer computes a LIFO pool index using an external index, as under the IPIC method, the taxpayer is still required to "weight the inflation rates to compute an appropriate composite pool index." Reg. Sec. 1.472-8(e)(3)(ii) requires that

A Quarterly Update of LIFO - News, Views and Ideas



the relative current-year costs be used to weight the applicable index.

The FSA says that the controlling regulation "... does not state whether to use an *arithmetic* mean or a *harmonic* mean to average the various indexes." The FSA concluded that ... "absent a preference expressed in the Regulations, we believe that the regulation permits the use of a weighted *arithmetic* mean based on dollars in ending inventory." It added that ... "the Service's pronouncements in this area (Rev. Procs. 84-57 and 98-49) are consistent with this interpretation." Accordingly, the method the taxpayer used for determining its pool index by using the weighted arithmetic mean is permissible.

When harmonic means are computed, the reciprocals of the values are averaged. Therefore, the smaller natural numbers are given larger emphasis so that the harmonic mean of different values will be a lower value than either the arithmetic or geometric averages. (At least, this is what my college text, Elementary Statistical Methods by W.A. Neiswanger [The Macmillan Company, revised edition, 1956], has to say about harmonic and geometric means. Hopefully, the principles or laws of higher mathematics have not changed over the years.)

## LINK-CHAIN vs. DOUBLE-EXTENSION METHODS FOR VALUING IPIC POOLS

LIFO involves a measurement process which in turn involves: ... Two points in time (two year-ends) ... One of which is fixed (the base date).

The base date, (i.e., first day of the first year in which LIFO is elected) provides that benchmark or fixed reference point for all subsequent LIFO computations. Although the base date is always fixed, subsequent measurements with respect to it may involve computations that either:

- 1. Reprice as of that specific date (i.e., double-extension or index methods), or
- 2. Reprice as of that specific date by the use of a "splicing" or year-by-year index construction (i.e., link-chain or "link-chain, index" methods).

A link-chain method uses the beginning of each year as the measuring reference for determining change. In contrast, the double-extension method uses a fixed base date, which is defined as the first day of the first year for which LIFO is elected. An index method prices a representative portion of the overall inventory, rather than "every item" as required under the double-extension portion of the regulations. A "link-chain, index" method refers to a method that (1) uses a moving base date and (2) reprices a

representative portion (rather than "every" item) of the inventory in determining the annual index.

Overarching the use of the IPIC method is a very broad question that one would think would have been definitively answered by now. But it hasn't been.

That question is: Under the IPIC method, *must* the double-extension method be used to determine the value of the dollar-value pools, or *may* the link-chain method be used for this purpose? FSA 200004008 also addresses this question.

FSA 200004008 observed that ordinarily, a tax-payer may only use the double-extension method for computing the base-year and current-year cost of a dollar-value inventory pool. However, where the double-extension method is impractical, the tax-payer may use an index method. A third method, the link-chain method, is also authorized where the tax-payer can demonstrate that the use of the double-extension or the index method is impractical or unsuitable in view of the nature of the pool.

Although the IPIC method is an additional and simplified method of using an *external* (rather than *internal*) index, whether the ultimate price index is determined using the double-extension method or the link-chain method "is still at issue."

The FSA said that the stated preference in the Regulations is for the use of the double-extension method. There are fewer distortions when the doubleextension method is used with the IPIC method, than there would be if the link-chain method were used. It also added that because the base-year and currentyear indexes are published monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, it is relatively simple to doubleextend under the IPIC method. "Furthermore, because the indexes are external, base-year cost reconstruction can invariably be accomplished using the double-extension method. Finally, distortions from errors in the index ... or changes in product mix ... are reduced by using the double-extension method rather than the link-chain method in conjunction with the IPIC method."

### AN ABRUPT, BUT FAVORABLE, ENDING

After reciting all these pro-double-extention observations, the FSA abruptly concludes ... "On the other hand, although there are arguments favoring using the double-extension method, there are no Service pronouncements specifically prohibiting using the link-chain method with an IPIC method. Accordingly, under the facts in this situation, we would not disturb (the) Taxpayer's use of the link-chain method to compute the LIFO value of its pools."

O.K., we'll take it.



# A Quarterly Update of LIFO - News, Views and Ideas

### LIFO LOOKOUT

### INDEX OF ARTICLES: MARCH, 1991 - DECEMBER, 1999

L	AUTOMOBILE DEALER INVENTORIES	
	NEW VEHICLES	
	ALTERNATIVE LIFO METHOD REV. PROC. 97-36	4
	ALTERNATIVE LIFO METHOD REV. PROC. 92-79	
	NEW ITEM LISTS DEFINITION, ANALYSES & COMPARISON WITH IRS LISTS	4-9
	IRS AUDIT ACTIVITY POST-1992	
	IRS AUDIT ACTIVITY PRIOR TO ALTERNATIVE LIFO METHOD	6
	USED VEHICLES	
	THEORY & PRACTICE	6
	FORM 970 ELECTION REPORTING	6
	PARTS & ACCESSORIES	
	REPLACEMENT COST METHOD FOR VALUING PARTS INVENTORIES	6-7
11.	BARGAIN PURCHASE OF INVENTORY	7
III.	BOOKS & RECORDS	ę.
ш	DOURS & RECORDS	0
IV.	CALCULATIONS	
	GENERAL	
	NEGATIVE LIFO RESERVES	
	OTHER SPECIFIC BUSINESSES	8-9
	COMBINING POOLS	
	FIRST YEAR CALCULATIONS	9
	REBASING INDEXES	9
	SAMPLING	9
V.	CHANGING LIFO METHODS OF ACCOUNTING	
	GENERAL	9
	REVENUE PROCEDURE 98-60.	10
	REVENUE PROCEDURE 97-37	10
	REVENUE PROCEDURE 97-27	10
	REVENUE PROCEDURE 92-20.	10
	FORM 3115 FILINGS	10
	TERMINATING LIFO ELECTIONS	11

(continued)

PAGE

### LIFO LOOKOUT

### INDEX OF ARTICLES: MARCH, 1991 - DECEMBER, 1999

PAGE

VL FINANCIAL STATEMENT REPORTING CONFORMITY REQUIREMENTS	
GENERAL GENERAL	
DEALER SPECIFIC POST-1996	
DEALER SPECIFIC PRE-1997	
VIL FORM 970, LIFO ELIGIBILITY & LIFO ELECTION CONSIDERATIONS	12
VIII. INCREMENT VALUATION METHODS	12-13
IX. ITEM DEFINITION	13
X. LIFO RECAPTURE TAX & ENTITY CHANGES: C TO S, LLC, etc	13
XL MANUFACTURERS & COMPONENTS-OF-COST METHODS	14
XIL REPLACEMENT COST METHOD FOR VALUING PARTS INVENTORIES	14
XIII. PROJECTIONS & PLANNING YEAR-END INVENTORY LEVELS	
CASES IN GENERAL	14
PLANNING & PROJECTING FOR YEAR-END LIFO INVENTORIES	14
QUICK YEAR-END LIFO ESTIMATES	
BASED ON ONE-OF-EACH ITEM CATEGORY INVENTORY MIX	15
XIV. FINDING LISTS	
CASES	15
COORDINATED ISSUE PAPERS	15
FIELD SERVICE ADVICE MEMORANDA	
LETTER RULINGS (INCLUDING TECHNICAL ADVICE MEMORANDA)	
REVENUE PROCEDURES	17
REVENUE RULINGS & IRS ANNOUNCEMENTS	
PRACTICE GUIDES	
FRICTICE GUIDES	19

\* NOTE: To facilitate key word/subject finding.

Certain articles marked (\*) are included more than once in the detailed topic/subject listings: Articles relating to IRS Audit manuals, Guides, etc., and PRACTICE GUIDES (demitted by PG) are included in the detailed topic/subject listings and in the above FINDING LISTS. (Rev. January, 2000)



### LIFO LOOKOUT

### INDEX OF ARTICLES: MARCH, 1991 - DECEMBER, 1999

INDEX OF	ARTICLES:	MARCH.	1991 - DECEMBER,	1999

PAGE 8			

III. BOOKS & RECORDS		
When Are Books & Records (In)Adequate to Support LIFO Calculations?	September, 1999pg.	10
Protecting Your Client's Books and Records	pg.	18
Tax Court Terminates LIFO Election Due to Inadequate Books and Records:		
Boecking Machinery, Inc.	December, 1992pg.	4
Books & Records, Closed Years and Adjusting Prior Year Indexes		
IV. CALCULATIONS		

Field Service Advice Provides Interesting Insights into IRS Thinking on LIFO Issues....June, 1999......pg. 12

FSAs ... At A Glance: Summary of LIFO-Related Field Service Advice........PG ... June, 1999............pg. 14

### CALCULATIONS - GENERAL

Sale of Excess Capacity Inventory Does Not Require "Vertical Slice"		
Faster LIFO Recapture (LTR 199920001)	June, 1999pg.	4
More on Application of BLS-IPIC Method to Auto Dealers' Inventories	December, 1998pg.	3
IRS Can't Prevent Use of Dollar-Value LIFO Method for Specifically Identifiable G		
1992 FSA Allows DVM & Tells Agents How to Restrict Benefits (FSA 1998-152		22
What is Field Service Advice?		
S Elections, QSSSs & "Almost Too Good to Be True" LIFO Results		
Changes in Heavy-Truck & Equipment Discount Pricing (Update #6)		
The Tax Court's Primer on LIFO Inventories *		
"Visualizing" How Dollar Value LIFO Works *		
Summary of Methods for Pricing Dollar Value LIFO Pools	June, 1996pg.	8
LTR 9448004: Leased Machinery Can Be Included in LIFO Inventory by	,	
Heavy Construction Equipment Dealer *	December, 1994 pg.	24
Why Do Some LIFO Reserves Go Up Even Though Inventory Levels Go Down?		
Theory, Practice & IRS Audit Issues: What's Going On Out There? *		
11001, 110000 00 110 11001 10000 1100		
ALCULATIONS - NEGATIVE LIFO RESERVES		
ADCOLATIONS - NEWSTIVE EST O TOURDIN DO		
Strange, But Explainable, Results from the Wacky World of Negative LIFO Reserve	sDecember, 1998pg.	14
ALCULATIONS - OTHER SPECIFIC BUSINESSES		
ALCOLATIONS - OTHER SPECIFIC BOSH ESSES		
Core Remanufacturers: IRS Terminates Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc. 's		
LIFO Election Made for Some - But Not All - Costs that Make Up Goods	September, 1998 pg.	4
Jewelry Retailer's LIFO Calculations Lose Their Glitter Poor Books & Records	ра	•
Rewelly retailed a Lift Calculations Lose Their Officer Foot Dooks & Records		

Turn Precious Gem Inventory to Paste

How One Jeweler Applied LIFO to its Inventories.....

At A Glance ... FSA 199920002.....

.. September, 1999 ...... pg. 3

...September, 1999 ...... pg. 8

...PG .. September, 1999 ...... pg. 5

### XIII. PROJECTIONS & PLANNING YEAR-END INVENTORY LEVELS (Continued)

1999-2000 New Vehicle Inflation Survey for Year-End LIFO Estimates	December	1999	pg.	23
1998-1999 New Vehicle Inflation Survey for Year-End LIFO Estimates	December	1998	pg.	20
1997-1998 New Vehicle Inflation Survey for Year-End LIFO Estimates	December	1997	pg.	13
1996-1997 New Vehicle Inflation Survey for Year-End LIFO Estimates	December	1996	DR.	20
1995-1996 New Vehicle Inflation Survey for Year-End I IFO Estimates	December		no	

QUICK YEAR-END LIFO ESTIMATES BASED ON ONE-OF-EACH ITEM CATEGORY INVENTORY MIX

LIFO LOOKOUT

PAGE 15

### XV. FINDING LISTS

#### CASES

Ballou & Company, Inc Managing Year-End Inventory Levels	March, 1997pg. 7
Boecking Machinery, Inc LIFO Election Lost Due to Inadequate Books & Rec	cords December, 1995 pg. 4
Consolidated Manufacturing, Inc IRS Terminates Taxpayer's LIFO Election	
Some - But Not All - Costs that Make Up C	
Hamilton Industries, Inc Bargain Purchase of Inventories	
	June, 1997pg. 21
	December, 1991pg. 4
Illinois Cereal Mills vs. Comm Managing Year-End Inventory Levels	
Ingredient Technology Corp. Sucrest Managing Year-End Inventory Levels	
Kohler Co. And Subs Bargain Purchase of Inventories	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	June, 1998pg. 3
	June, 1997pg. 21
	March, 1996pg. 3
LaCrosse Footwear, Inc Bargain Purchase of Inventories	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•	
	June, 1998pg. 3
	June, 1997pg. 14
Mountain Sate Ford Truck Sales, Inc.	M 1000 2
Dealers Can't Use Replacement Cost Method for Parts Inventories on LIFO	
Richardson, E. W Auto Dealer "Item' Definition	
	June, 1996pg. 16
William Powell Co Financial Statement Conformity Requirement - General	December, 1993pg. 3
COORDINATED ISSUE PAPERS (CIP)	
COOLDINITED LOCUS IIII ELW (CII)	
Earliest Acquisitions Method for Valuing Increments (Sept., 1995 & Sept., 1994).	June, 1996pg. 10
	September, 1994pg. 18
Sampling and LIFO Inventories (June, 1995	September, 1995 pg. 20
Election of LIFO for Bulk Bargain Purchase Inventories (Sept., 1995)	June, 1997pg. 21

### <u>NEW ITEM REPORT FOR 1999 CALENDAR YEAR DEALERS</u> 1999-2000 MODELS IN DECEMBER, 1999 INVENTORIES

We are pleased to present our December 31, 1999 Year-End New Item Report showing our "unofficial" determinations of new items for all of the item categories under the Alternative LIFO Method for Automobile Dealers. This is drawn from our SUPERLIFO 2000<sup>TM</sup> database which comprises the backbone of our Alternative LIFO Software Program. Unfortunately, we are again unable to compare our new items lists with a similar list compiled by the IRS.

Readers may find it more useful to see which makes and models have experienced the introduction of a significant number of new items over a three-year period when this data is drawn from the same consistently compiled database. Accordingly, to place our 1999 Year-End New Item Report in some kind of perspective, we have again included our comparable Year-End New Item Reports for the last two years.

This will give you an idea of the extent of the changes by make and by model over the three-year period ending December 31, 1999. As we observed last year when presenting 1996-97-98 side-by-side, these comparative lists support some interesting conclusions. When viewed over an even longer comparative period, such as five years or all the way back to December 31, 1991, it becomes evident that frequent changes by some manufacturers render the Alternative LIFO Method decidedly less advantageous for some dealers. Just comparing the number of new items (relative to the possible total new items) by manufacturer each year begins to give you some idea of what we're talking about.

In considering these lists, be aware that the status of some items included in our prior published lists may have been changed as a result of information subsequently made available for our analysis after the date when our New Item Report was originally published. Accordingly, the lists of prior year-end (1997 and 1998) new items show the comparative status based on all updates, some of which were not previously published in the *LIFO Lookout*. More background on certain or "unusual" new item category determinations can be found in prior issues of the *LIFO Lookout*.

### OBSERVATIONS ON THESE SIDE-BY-SIDE NEW ITEM DETERMINATIONS

- <u>FIRST</u>, although fiscal year dealerships are looking at slightly different "slices" or time frames of reference, all dealerships should be experiencing <u>the frequency of</u> comparable new item treatment—with only the year in which the item category is new being off slightly from the corresponding calendar year.
- <u>SECOND</u>, in drafting the original Alternative LIFO Revenue Procedure (92-79), the IRS anticipated that over a number of years, there would be a certain "turnover" of item categories, resulting in new items appearing sooner or later. Accordingly, any auto dealership's LIFO computations over a period of years should be reflecting the presence of new items based on the specialized rules (below) which define a "new item."
- <u>THIRD</u>, as mentioned above, some manufacturers and makes reflect a much higher incidence of new items than others. For these, the benefits of the Alternative LIFO Method may be comparatively less attractive ... but that by no means renders them unattractive <u>per se</u>.

(continued)



### DEFINITION OF A "NEW ITEM"

Section 4.02(5) of Revenue Procedure 97-36 contains the language and rules for determining whether or not an item category is *new*. A *new* item category is defined as an item category not considered to be in existence in the prior taxable year. Under Rev. Proc. 97-36, a new item category results from any one of the following:

- Any new or reassigned manufacturer's model code that was caused by a change in an existing vehicle.
- A manufacturer's model code created or reassigned because the classified vehicle did not previously exist, or
- If there is no change in a manufacturer's model code, but there has been a change to the platform (i.e., the piece of metal at the bottom of the chassis that determines the length and width of the vehicle and the structural set-up of the vehicle) that results in a change in track width or wheel base, whether or not the same model name was previously used by the manufacturer, a new item category is created.

### DIFFERENCES BETWEEN NEW ITEMS LISTS

Eventually, the Office of the IRS Motor Vehicle Specialist will release its "unofficial" New Items List for calendar year-end 1999. We would expect there to be some differences between our respective Lists. In the past, most differences between entries on our respective New Items Lists usually were explained by one of these reasons:

- Minor variations in the item category breakdowns. This includes the method of listing automatic and 5-speed item categories with the same base price or the extent of recording regionally specific market or value-priced editions ... (such as California, Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Massachusetts and New York special values and General Motors' Consumer Marketing Initiative/CMI).
- Differences in information available at release dates: In some cases, the IRS did not include certain year models introduced after January 1. On our lists, where appropriate, we included these models as new items.
- Interpretation of "new item" definition language in Section 4.02(5) basically in situations involving only model code changes and/or engine changes. One of the major differences between our lists and those of the IRS related to engine changes: The IRS consistently has treated <u>any</u> engine change as automatically resulting in a new item ... whereas we did not (unless one of the other specified rules came into play).

### NEW ITEM: WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?

New item categories under the Alternative LIFO Method are required to be included in the annual inflation index computation at a 1.000 factor. This is accomplished by using the end-of-the-year base cost as the beginning-of-the-year base cost. Since any number divided by itself equals 1.000, a new item contributes no inflation to the annual index.

However, the addition of the same dollar amount to both the numerator and (to) the denominator of the same fraction <u>reduces</u> the overall result (i.e., it depresses the index computed) in the LIFO computations for an overall inflationary year. The exact opposite occurs in an overall deflationary year ... i.e., new item treatment will result in a relatively "higher" inflation index for the year.



# LIFO COUT ON REPORT

PLEASE NOTE: All articles and the entire contents of this publication are subject to copyright and are the proprietary intellectual property of the author and publisher, Willard J. De Filipps. No article, nor any portion of this publication, is to be reproduced or distributed without the express written authorization of Willard J. De Filipps. Any prior permission to reproduce and/or distribute, unless expressed in a written document, is null and void.

## NEW ITEM CATEGORIES FOR NEW AUTOMOBILES UNDER THE ALTERNATIVE LIFO (LAST-IN, FIRST-OUT) METHOD FOR AUTOMOBILE DEALERS REVENUE PROCEDURES 97-36 & 92-79

AUTOS PAGE 1 OF 9

WIR/T NEW VEHICLE INVENTORIES FOR TAXABLE YEARS ENDING ON DECEMBER 31, 1999 - 1998 - 1997

DECEMBER 31, 1999			DECEMBER 31, 1998			DECEMBER 31, 1997		
	MAKE	1000	1001 1000	MAKE	1222	1	MAKE	1222
WDL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL BODY	STYLE	CODE	MDL	BODYSTYLE	CODE
	ACURA	]		ACURA	]		ACURA	
			CL			NSX		
rL	4-DR SEDAN 3.2 AUTO	UA566	3	COUPE 2.3 5-SP	YA315	NOY	2-DR COUPE 5-SP	NA213
	4-DR SEDAN 3.2 AUTO W/NAV SYS	UA567	1	COUPE 2.3 S-SP	YA325	l	2-DR COUPE SUTO	NA213 NA123
	4-DR SEDAN 3:2 AUTO WINAV 313	0A307	i	COUPE 3.0 AUTO	YA225	NSX-		NA 123
			RL	5001 E 3.0 A010	174220	, tox	2-DR COUPE 5-SP	NA216
				SEDAN	KA965	1	2-DR COUPE AUTO	NA126
			1	SEDAN W/NAV PKG	KA966	RL		
			TL			1	4-DR SEDAN W/NAV PKG	KA967
			4-DR S	SEDAN 3.2 AUTO	UA564	- 1		
			4-DRS	SEDAN 3.2 AUTO W/NAV SYS	UA565	1		
	AUDI			AUDI	]		AUDI	
14 S	ERIES		A4 SERIES			A4 S	ERIES	
	4-DR SEDAN 1.8 5-SP	8D25H4		VGN AVANT QUATTRO 1.8T 5-SP	8D55I5	,	4-DR WAGON AVANT 5-SP	8D55VK
	4-DR SEDAN 1.8 AUTO	8D25HK	1	VGN AVANT QUATTRO 1.8T AUTO	8D55IZ	1	4-DR WAGON AVANT QUATTRO 5-SP	8D55V5
	4-DR SEDAN 1.8 QUATTRO 5-SP	8D25H5	A6 SERIES			1	4-DR WAGON AVANT QUATTRO AUTO	8D55VZ
	4-DR SEDAN 1.8 QUATTRO AUTO	8D25HZ	4-DR V	VGN AVANT QUATTRO AUTO	4B54VZ	1		
	4-DR SEDAN 2.8 5-SP	8D25U4	į			A6 S	ERIES	
	4-DR SEDAN 2.8 AUTO	8D25UK				1	4-DR SEDAN AUTO	4B24VA
	4-DR SEDAN 2.8 QUATTRO 5-SP	8D25U5	1				4-DR SEDAN QUATTRO AUTO	4B24VB
	4-DR SEDAN 2.8 QUATTRO AUTO	8D25UZ				1	4-DR WAGON AUTO	4A53U8
	4-DR WAGON AVANT 1.8 QUATTRO 5-SP	8D55H5	1			ı		
	4-DR WAGON AVANT 1.8 QUATTRO AUT	8D55HZ				- [		
	4-DR WAGON AVANT 2.8 QUATTRO 5-SP		1			- 1		
	4-DR WAGON AVANT 2.8 QUATTRO AUT	8D55UZ	1			1		
46 S	ERIES		}					
	4-DR SEDAN 2.7 QUATTRO 6-SP	4B2479	ĺ					
	4-DR SEDAN 2.7 QUATTRO AUTO	4B247Z	1			1		
	4-DR SEDAN 4.2 QUATTRO AUTO	4B44IZ	1			1		
48 5	ERIES	4D22GZ	1					
<b>S4</b>	4-DR SEDAN 4.2 QUATTRO 5-SP	402202	1			1		
<del></del>	4-DR SEDAN 2.7 QUATTRO 6-SP	8D2579	1					
	4-DR SEDAN 2.7 QUATTRO 6-SP 4-DR SEDAN 2.7 QUATTRO AUTO	8D257Z	1			1		
TT	TON SEDNIE Z. / GONT THO ROTO		1			1		
• •	2-DR COUPE FRONTTRAK	8N3554	1			1		
	2-DR COUPE QUATTRO	8N355N						
	22.1 3201 2 401111110		1					
			1			ı		
			1			1		

Photocopying or Reprinting Without Permission Is Prohibited



AUTOS PAGE 2 OF 9

DECEMBER 31, 1999		DECEMBER 31, 1998		DECEMBER 31, 1997		
	MAKE		MAKE		MAKE	
MDL E	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL BODY STYLE	CODE
Г	BMW		BMW	1	BMW	1
_				3		,
3 SERI	ES		3 SERIES		3 SERIES	
3	323CI 2-DR COUPE 5-SP	34	3231 4-DR SEDAN 5-SP	44	323IC 2-DR CONVERTIBLE 5-SP	41
3	328CI 2-DR COUPLE 5-SP	33	323IA 4-DR SEDAN AUTO	49	323ICA 2-DR CONVERTIBLE AUTO	46
7 SERI	ES		328I 4-DR SEDAN 5-SP	42	323IS 2-DR COUPE 5-SP	34
7	740IL 4-DR SEDAN AUTO W/PROT	78	328IA 4-DR SEDAN AUTO	47	323ISA 2-DR COUPE AUTO	39
7	750IL 4-DR SEDAN AUTO W/PROT	77	M3 2-DR CONVERTIBLE	37		
X5			5 SERIES			
8	SPORT UTILITY VEHICLE	62	528IT SPORT WAGON	54		
1			528ITA SPORT WAGON AUTO	59		
l			540ITA SPORT WAGON	69		
1			<b>Z3</b>			
1			2-DR COUPE 2.8L 5-SP	29		
			2-DR COUPE M	26		
			2-DR ROADSTER 2.3L 5-SP	23		
			2-DR ROADSTER M	24		
1						
1 -	BUICK		BUICK	1	BUICK	
-	20.01	!				'
LESAB	BRE				CENTURY	
4	LDR SEDAN CUSTOM	4HP69			4-DR SEDAN CUSTOM 1SG CWOI	S69 1SG
4	4-DR SEDAN LIMITED	4HR69			4-DR SEDAN LIMITED 1SH CWOI	Y69 1SH
1					LESABRE	
					4-DR SEDAN CUSTOM 1SG CWOI	P69 1SG
					4-DR SEDAN LIMITED 1SG CWOI	R69 1SG
}					PARK AVENUE	
					4-DR SEDAN 1SG CWOI	W69 1SG
1					4-DR SEDAN 1SH CWOI	W69 1SH
1					REGAL	
1					4-DR SEDAN GS	F69
					4-DR SEDAN GS 1SH CWOI	F69 1SH
					4-DR SEDAN LS	B69
					4-DR SEDAN LS 1SG CWOI	B69 1SG
1					RIVIERA	D03 100
j .					2-DR COUPE 1SG CWOI	D07 1SG
					2-DR COOFE 13G CWOI	207 130
_		1		٦	040//40	1
L	CADILLAC		CADILLAC	J	CADILLAC	
DE VIL	1 =		DE VILLE		SEVILLE	
	4-DR SEDAN	6KD69	4-DR SEDAN GOLDEN ANNIV ED	6KD69 ANN	4-DR SEDAN SLS	6KS69
j		6KE69	TOR SEDAN GOLDEN ANNI ED	OKDOS AINIT	4-DR SEDAN STS	6KY69
1	LDR SEDAN DHS	6KF69			TEN SESAN OIS	5.11.55
1	4-DR SEDAN DTS	OKPOS				
_		1		٦	0454504574050	1
] L	CHEVROLET / GEO	J	CHEVROLET / GEO		CHEVROLET / GEO	J
IMPAL	Α		CORVETTE		CAMARO	ì
1	4-DR SEDAN	1WF19	2-DR HARDTOP	1YY37	2-DR COUPE CWOI	1FP87 CWOI
1	4-DR SEDAN LS	1WH19			CAVALIER	
MALIB					2-DR CONVERTIBLE Z24	1JF67
	4-DR SEDAN LS GOLD EDITION	1NE69 GE			2-DR COUPE R&L CWOI	1JC37 R8L
1	E CARLO				4-DR SEDAN R&L CWOI	1JC69 R8L
1	2-DR COUPE LS	1WW27 LS			CORVETTE	
	2-DR COUPE SS	1WX27 SS			2-DR CONVERTIBLE COUPE	1YY67
1 '	2-5.1. 5001 £ 50				2-DR COUPE	17707
					LUMINA	
					4-DR SEDAN LTZ R8L CWOI	1WN69 R8L
1			1		MALIBU	
1					4-DR SEDAN LS CWOI	1NE69 CWOI
1			1		METRO	
1			1		3-DR H/B COUPE CWOI	1MR08 CWOI
			1		4-DR SEDAN LSI CWOI	1MR69 CWOI
1			1			
1						



AUTOS PAGE 3 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 199	9		DECEMBER 31, 19	990	DECEMBER 31, 1997	
MD/	MAKE BODY STYLE	CODE	HOL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MAKE MDL BODY STYLE	Icons
NUL	BODI SITLE	ICODE	MUL	JBOUT STILE	JUODE	IMDE BOUTSTILE	CODE
	CHEVROLET / GEO		-	CHEVROLET / GEO		CHEVROLET / GEO	
		•				MONTE CARLO	
			1			MONTE CARLO	1140707 01410
						2-DR COUPE Z34 R8L CWOI PRIZM	1WX27 CWO
						4-DR SEDAN	1SK19
						4-DR SEDAN	1SK19 1SB
						4-DR SEDAN CWOI	1SK19 CWO
						4-DR SEDAN LSI	1SK19 LSI
ſ	CHRYSLER			CHRYSLER		CHRYSLER	7
L	CHRYSLER			CHATSEER		CHRISLER	
IRRI	ıs		300M		•	CIRRUS	
	4-DR SEDAN LX	JACH41		4-DR SEDAN	LHYS41	4-DR SEDAN LXI	JACP41
	ORDE		LHS			CONCORDE	
	4-DR SEDAN LXI	LHCM41		4-DR SEDAN	LHCP41	4-DR SEDAN LX	LHCH41
,	DAFWOO	_		0451400		0451/00	_
į	DAEWOO			DAEWOO		DAEWOO	
UBIF	24						
	4-DR SEDAN SE 5-SP	E4MQ5					
	4-DR SEDAN SE AUTO	E4MQ4					
	<del>-</del>		ı				
_							
	DODGE			DODGE		DODGE	_ا
ITRE			1			INTREPID	141541111
	4-DR SEDAN R/T	LHDX41				4-DR SEDAN	LHDH41
EON		PLDH41	- 1			4-DR SEDAN ES	LHDP41
	4-DR SEDAN HIGHLINE	PLUM41	-			2-DR COUPE COMPETITION	PLDL22
			1			4-DR SEDAN COMPETITION	PLDL42
			1			VIPER	• -=
			-			2 SEAT RT/10 ROADSTER	SRD27
			- 1				
_		<del>-</del> ,		FAOLE		FACIE	_
Ĺ	EAGLE			EAGLE		EAGLE	
			-				
Γ	FERRARI	$\neg$	- 1	FERRARI		FERRARI	
Ĺ		<del>_</del>					_
60 M	ODENA		F355			F355	
	2-DR COUPE	360		2-DR SPIDER CONVERTIBLE FSP	F355 FSP	2-DR COUPE B CHALLENGE	F355 CH
:	2-DR COUPE FORMULA	360 F				1	
Г	FORD	7		FORD		FORD	7
L	, 500			. 0.15			
ONT	OUR					CONTOUR	
	LDR SEDAN SE-FLEET	P66 SE				4-DR SEDAN SVT	P68
	4-DR SEDAN SPORT	P66				CROWN VICTORIA	
CU						4-DR SEDAN	P73
	3-DR HATCHBACK ZX3	P31				4-DR SEDAN - FLEET	P72
	4-DR SEDAN LX	P33				4-DR SEDAN LX 4-DR SEDAN POLICE INTERCEPTOR	P74 P71
	4-DR SEDAN SE	P34				ESCORT	FO
	4-DR SEDAN ZTS	P38 P36				2-DR COOL COUPE ZX2	P11 CC
	4-DR WAGON SE	FJU	ł			2-DR HOT COUPE ZX2	P11 HC
MID	4-DR SEDAN COMFORT	P56					
AUR						•	
		P55					
	4-DR SEDAN SE SVG	P55					

AUTOS PAGE 4 OF 9

W/R/T NEW VEHICLE INVENTORIES FOR TAXABLE YEARS ENDING ON DECEMBER 31, 1999 - 1998 - 1997

	DECEMBER 31, 1999 MAKE		+	DECEMBER 31, 1998		DECEMBER 31, 1997 MAKE			
IDL		CODE	MDL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL	BODY STYLE	CODE	
		1			1			<del></del>	
	HONDA		1	HONDA	_		HONDA	_	
ACC	ORD		ACC	ORD		ACC	ORD		
		CG225 L		2-DR COUPE ULEV LX AUTO	CG326		2-DR COUPE EX 3.0 AUTO	CG225	
	2-DR COUPE ULEV EX 5-SP	CG317	1	4-DR SEDAN ULEV LX AUTO	CG665		2-DR COUPE EX 5-SP	CG315	
	2-DR COUPE ULEV EX 5-SP W/LEATHER	CG317 L	l	4-DR SEDAN ULEV LX AUTO W/ABS	CG665 ABS		2-DR COUPE EX 5-SP W/LEATHER	CG315 L	
	2-DR COUPE ULEV LX 5-SP	CG316	CIVIC	;			2-DR COUPE EX AUTO	CG325	
	4-DR SEDAN SE AUTO	CG567		4-DR SEDAN VALUE PACKAGE AUTO	EJ661		2-DR COUPE EX AUTO W/LEATHER	CG325 L	
	4-DR SEDAN ULEV EX 5-SP	CG657	1				2-DR COUPE LX 3.0 AUTO	CG224	
	4-DR SEDAN ULEV EX 5-SP W/LEATHER						2-DR COUPE LX 5-SP	CG314	
	4-DR SEDAN ULEV EX AUTO W/LEATHER						2-DR COUPE LX AUTO	CG324	
		CG655	1				2-DR COUPE ULEV EX AUTO	CG327	
		CG669	1			1	2-DR COUPE ULEV EX AUTO W/LEATHE		
CIVIC							4-DR SEDAN 3.0 LX AUTO	CG164	
		EM115	ĺ				4-DR SEDAN DX 5-SP	CF854	
NSIG		75426					4-DR SEDAN DX AUTO	CF864	
		ZE135					4-DR SEDAN EX 5-SP	CG555	
2000		ZE137				1	4-DR SEDAN EX 5-SP W/LEATHER 4-DR SEDAN EX AUTO	CG555 L CG565	
,2001		AP114	1			1	4-DR SEDAN EX AUTO W/LEATHER	CG365 CG165 L	
	2 S. CONTENTIBLE CO.		1			l	4-DR SEDAN EX AUTO W/LEATHER	CG 165 L	
							4-DR SEDAN LX 5-SP	CG554	
							4-DR SEDAN LX AUTO	CG564	
			1				4-DR SEDAN LX AUTO W/ABS	CG564 ABS	
							4-DR SEDAN ULEV EX AUTO	CG567	
							4-DR SEDAN ULEV EX AUTO W/LEATHER		
					_	ĺ		_	
	HYUNDAI			HYUNDAI	]	l	HYUNDAI	]	
CCE	:NT		SONA	ATA		ACCI	ENT		
CCE		13333	SON	4-DR SEDAN 5-SP	23403	ACC	3-DR HATCHBACK GSI 5-SP	12343	
		13332		4-DR SEDAN AUTO	23402		3-DR HATCHBACK GSI AUTO	12343	
		13303		4-DR SEDAN GLS V6 5-SP	23453	1	3-DK HATCHBACK GGFAGTO	12572	
		13423		4-DR SEDAN GLS V6 AUTO	23452				
		13422		4 Dit 025/11 025 10/10/0	20 102				
LAN	TRA		ł						
		41543	l						
ONA	ATA .					l			
	4-DR SEDAN AUTO	23402							
							,		
	INFINITI			INFINITI	1	i	INFINITI	7	
					1			3	
30			G20			Q45			
	4-DR SEDAN LUXURY AUTO	9501	ļ	4-DR SEDAN 5-SP	9205	l	4-DR SEDAN	9431	
	4-DR SEDAN TOURING AUTO	9571		4-DR SEDAN AUTO	9201	l	4-DR SEDAN TOURING	9481	
				4-DR TOURING SEDAN 5-SP	9275	l			
145			1	4-DR TOURING SEDAN AUTO	9271	1			
	4-DR SEDAN ANN ED	9491	Q45			1			
				4-DR SEDAN TOURING W/COMMUN	9491				
			1	4-DR SEDAN W/ COMMUN	9441				
						l			
	JAGUAR		1	JAGUAR	1	1	JAGUAR	7	
	JAGUAR			JAGUAK	1	l	UAGUAN	j	
-TYI	PE		1			XJ8			
		JAG1	1			1	4-DR SEDAN	XJ8	
		JAG2				l	4-DR SEDAN L	XJ8L	
(J8							4-DR SEDAN VANDEN PLAS	XJVDP	
	4-DR SEDAN VANDEN PLAS SUPERCHA	XJVPSC	1		•		4-DR SEDAN XJR	XJR	
			1			l			
(KR			1						
KR		XKR CON XKR CPE	ļ						

\*

AUTOS PAGE 5 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 1999		+	DECEMBER 31, 1998		-	DECEMBER 31, 1997	
DL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDI	BODY STYLE	CODE
-	500,07722	10002	1	1000.01112	10002	1	1007, 07722	TOODE
	KIA	1	İ	KIA	1	1	KIA	7
		-	İ		•			
PI	AIF					SEP	HIA	
	4-DR SEDAN 5-SP SPEC VALUE	14203					4-DR SEDAN LS AUTO W/PWR PKG	14242
	4-DR SEDAN AUTO SPEC VALUE	14204	1			-	4-DR SEDAN AUTO	14202
	4-DR SEDAN LS 5-SP W/PWR PKG SV	14243	1				4-DR SEDAN LS 5-SP	14221
	4-DR SEDAN LS AUTO W/PWR PKG SV	14244				1	4-DR SEDAN LS 5-SP W/PWR PKG	14241
							4-DR SEDAN LS AUTO	14222
			1				4-DR SEDAN RS 5-SP	14201
		7			,		1.57/10	
	LEXUS	J	1	LEXUS	3		LEXUS	
						GS 3	00 SEDAN	
			1				4-DR LUXURY SPORT AUTO	9300
			1				4-DR LUXURY SPORT AUTO CA/NY	9310
						GS 4	00 SEDAN	
						1	4-DR LUXURY SPORT AUTO	9320
							4-DR LUXURY SPORT AUTO CA/NY	9330
			1					
	LINCOLN	1		LINCOLN	1		LINCOLN	_
	LINCOLIA	1	1	LITOOLIT	j	1	LINOULI	
						TOW	IN CAR	
	4-DR SEDAN V6 5-SP	M86					4-DR CARTIER	M83
	4-DR SEDAN V6 AUTO	M86 AUTO					4-DR CARTIER CMNYC	M83 CMNYC
	4-DR SEDAN V8 AUTO	M87	1				4-DR EXECUTIVE	M81
							4-DR EXECUTIVE CMNYC	M81 CMNYC
						ļ	4-DR SIGNATURE	M82
			1			1	4-DR SIGNATURE CMNYC	M82 CMNYC
	MAZDA	]		MAZDA	]		MAZDA	
LL	ENIA		626			626		
	4-DR SEDAN AUTO MILLENIUM ED	MILMA		4-DR SEDAN ES AUTO	626ES AUTO	1	4-DR SEDAN DX 5-SP	626DX
	4-DR SEDAN L AUTO	MILL	MX-5	MIATA			4-DR SEDAN ES V8 5-SP	626ES
-5	MIATA		1	2-DR CONVERTIBLE 5-SP	MIA	1	4-DR SEDAN LX 5-SP	626LX
	2-DR CONVERTIBLE 6-SP ANN ED	MIA ANN	PRO	TEGE			4-DR SEDAN LX V6 5-SP	626LX V6
	2-DR CONVERTIBLE LS 5-SP	MIALP	1	4-DR SEDAN DX	PRODX	MX-5	MIATA	
			1	4-DR SEDAN ES	PROES	ļ	2-DR CONVERTIBLE STO-ED	NA35 STO
				4-DR SEDAN LX	PROLX	1		
	MERCEDES	1		MERCEDES	1		MERCEDES	٦
	MERCEDES	ı	1	MERCEDEO	J	İ		
K			C CL			CLK		
	CLK430 2-DR CABRIOLET AUTO	CLK430 A	1	C43 4-DR SEDAN AUTO	C43	l	CLK320 2-DR COUPE AUTO	CLK320
L	ASS		CLK			E CL		
	E430 4-DR SEDAN AUTO AWD	E430W A	1	CLK320 2-DR CABRIOLET AUTO	CLK320 A	1	4-DR SEDAN AUTO	E430
L	ASS			CLK430 2-DR COUPE AUTO	CLK430		E320 4-DR SEDAN AUTO AWD	E320W A
	S430 4-DR SEDAN AUTO	S430V	SLK				E320 STATION WAGON AUTO	E320S
	S500 4-DR SEDAN AUTO	S500V		SLK230 2-DR COUPE/ROAD KOMP 5-SP	SLK230		E320 STATION WAGON AUTO AWD	E320S A
			1					
	MERCURY	]		MERCURY	]		MERCURY	
_	-		cou	CAD		GPA	ND MARQUIS	
Bl	_E	MEE	1000	GAR 2-DR COUPE I-4	T60	300	4-DR SEDAN GS	M74
	4-DR SEDAN LS PREMIUM	M55	1	2-DR COUPE I-4 2-DR COUPE V-6 5-SP	T61		4-DR SEDAN LS	M75
	4-DR WAGON GS	M58 GS		Z-DIX GOUFE V-0 J-3F	.51		4-DR SEDAN GS CA	M74 CA
	4-DR WAGON LS PREMIUM	M59	1			1	4-DR SEDAN LS CA	M75 CA
			1			SAB		
						~~	4-DR SEDAN LS CA	M53 CA
			1			-	4-DR WAGON LS CA	M58 CA
			· ·			1		



AUTOS :

W/R/T NEW VEHICLE INVENTORIES FOR TAXABLE YEARS ENDING ON DECEMBER 31, 1999 - 1998 - 1997

	DECEMBER 31, 1999  MAKE DL   BODY STYLE   CODE		<b>—</b>	DECEMBER 31, 19	98		DECEMBER 31, 199	97
MDI.		LOOPE	MDL	MAKE	loops	1401	MAKE	loope
IUL	BOUTSTILE	CODE	MUL	BODYSTYLE	CODE	MDL	BODY STYLE	CODE
	MITSUBISHI	7	1	MITSUBISHI	$\neg$	- 1	MITSUBISHI	$\neg$
		_			<del></del>			
IAM	ANTE 4-DR SEDAN LS AUTO	DM42-G	3000	3-DR SPORT COUPE VR-4	GT24-T	DIA	MANTE 4-DR SEDAN ES AUTO	DM42-B
CLII	PSE	DM42-G	GAL		G124-1	GAI	ANT	UM42-B
J	2-DR CONVERT SPYDER GS 5-SP	EC28-K 5-SP		4-DR SEDAN DE AUTO	GA41-B AUTO		4-DR SEDAN ES 5-SP	GA41-G 5-SP
	2-DR CONVERT SPYDER GS AUTO	EC28-K AUTO	1	4-DR SEDAN ES AUTO	GA41-G AUTO			J
	2-DR CONVERT SPYDER GS-T 5-SP	EC28-P 5-SP	l	4-DR SEDAN ES V-6 AUTO	GA41-K AUTO	1		
	2-DR CONVERT SPYDER GS-T AUTO	EC28-P AUTO	l	4-DR SEDAN GTZ V-6 AUTO	GA41-P AUTO	)		
	3-DR COUPE GS 5-SP	EC24-K 5-SP	l	4-DR SEDAN LS V-6 AUTO	GA41-X AUTO	)		
	3-DR COUPE GS SPORTRONIC	EC24-K AUTO	j					
	3-DR COUPE GT 5-SP	EC24-P 5-SP				1		
	3-DR COUPE GT 5-SP	EC24-X 5-SP	l					
	3-DR COUPE GT AUTO	EC24-X AUTO	l			- [		
	3-DR COUPE GT SPORTRONIC	EC24-P AUTO	1					
	3-DR COUPE RS 5-SP	EC24-G 5-SP	l					
	3-DR COUPE RS AUTO	EC24-G AUTO	1					
	NISSAN	_]		NISSAN			NISSAN	
TII.	MA					240	sx	
	4-DR SEDAN SE-L 5-SP	1595					2-DR COUPE LE 5-SP	2635
	4-DR SEDAN SE-L AUTO	1591				- 1	2-DR COUPE LE AUTO	2631
XII	MA					ALT		
	4-DR SEDAN GLE AUTO	0861	ĺ				4-DR SEDAN GLE AUTO	0581
	4-DR SEDAN GXE 5-SP	0845	1				4-DR SEDAN GXE 5-SP	0575
	4-DR SEDAN GXE AUTO	0841	1				4-DR SEDAN GXE AUTO	0571
	4-DR SEDAN SE 5-SP	0825	l			l	4-DR SEDAN SE 5-SP	0595
	4-DR SEDAN SE AUTO	0821	1			1	4-DR SEDAN SE AUTO	0591
NT	TRA .		1				4-DR SEDAN XE 5-SP	0565
	4-DR SEDAN SE-L 5-SP	4255	j				4-DR SEDAN XE AUTO	0561
	4-DR SEDAN SE-L AUTO	4251				SEN	ITRA	
						1	4-DR SEDAN SE 5-SP 4-DR SEDAN SE AUTO	4245 4241
	OLDSMOBILE	]	l	OLDSMOBILE		1	OLDSMOBILE	
_ER	80		ALEF	RO		INT	RIGUE	
	2-DR COUPE GL1 1SA	3NL37 1SA		2-DR COUPE GL	3NL37	-	4-DR SEDAN	3WH69
	2-DR COUPE GL3 1SC	3NL37 1SC		2-DR COUPE GLS	3NF37	- 1	4-DR SEDAN GL	3WS69
	4-DR SEDAN GL2 1SB	3NL69 1SB	l	2-DR COUPE GX	3NK37	- 1		
	4-DR SEDAN GL3 1SC	3NL69 1SC		4-DR SEDAN GL	3NL69			
			l	4-DR SEDAN GLS	3NF69	- 1		
			ļ	4-DR SEDAN GX	3NK69	- 1		
			EIGH	TY EIGHT		1		
			1	4-DR SEDAN 50TH ANNIV ED	3HC69	- 1		
			INTR			1		
			1	4-DR SEDAN GLS	3WX69	- 1		
	PLYMOUTH	]		PLYMOUTH			PLYMOUTH	
EON	u		PPO	WLER		NEC	)N	
EUF	N 4-DR SEDAN HIGHLINE	PLPH41		2-SEAT ROADSTER	PRPS27		2-DR COUPE COMPETITION	PLPL22
	TOR SEDAN MONENTE		1			-	4-DR SEDAN COMPETITION	PLPL42
			1			PRO	WLER	
			1				2-SEAT ROADSTER	PRPS27
	PONTIAC	7		PONTIAC	<del></del>		PONTIAC	_
	FORTIAG	_		, JITTIAG				
INC	NEVILLE		GRA	ND AM		ВОІ	NEVILLE	011700 10::
	4-DR SEDAN SE	2HX69	1	2-DR COUPE GT	2NW37	- 1	4-DR SEDAN 1SH CWOI	2HX69 1SH
	4-DR SEDAN SLE	2HY69	1	2-DR COUPE GT1	2NW37 GT1		4-DR SEDAN SSE 1SG CWOI	2HZ69 1SG
	4-DR SEDAN SSE	2HZ69	1	2-DR COUPE SE	2NE37	FIR	EBIRD 2-DR CONVERT, TRANS AM 1SG CW	OI 2FV87 1SG
			1	2-DR COUPE SE1	2NE37 SE1	- 1	2-DR CONVERT, TRANS AM 15G CW 2-DR COUPE 1SH CWOI	2FS87 1SH
				2-DR COUPE SE2	2NE37 SE2	1	2-511 0001 E 1011 01101	2. 30, 1311

\*\*

AUTOS PAGE 7 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 1999			DECEMBER 31, 1998		ļ	DECEMBER 31, 1997	
MOI	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDI	MAKE BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL	MAKE BODY STYLE	CODE
MUL	BODISTILE	ICODE	MUL	IBOUTSTILE	ICODE	MUL	BODY STYLE	CODE
	PONTIAC	7		PONTIAC	1		PONTIAC	7
		-			•	1		<b>-</b>
GRAI	ND AM		GRA	ND AM (continued)		GRAI	ND AM	
	2-DR COUPE SE1	2NF37		4-DR SEDAN GT	2NW69		2-DR COUPE GT 1SH CWOI	2NW37 1SH
	4-DR SEDAN SE1	2NF69		4-DR SEDAN GT1	2NW69 GT1		2-DR COUPE SE 1SH CWOI	2NE37 1SH
				4-DR SEDAN SE 4-DR SEDAN SE1	2NE69 2NE69 SE1	GRAI	4-DR SEDAN GT 1SH CWOI ND PRIX	2NW69 1SH
				4-DR SEDAN SE2	2NE69 SE2	GIOGI	4-DR SEDAN GT 1SH CWO!	2WP69 1SH
			GRA	ID PRIX			4-DR SEDAN SE 1SH CWOI	2WJ69 1SH
				2-DR COUPE GTP	2WR37 GTP			
				4-DR SEDAN GTP	2WR69 GTP	İ		
			SUNF		0.10.07	l		
				2-DR CONVERTIBLE GT	2JB67	Ì		
	PORSCHE			PORSCHE	]		PORSCHE	]
BOYT	ren		044 C	ARRERA SERIES		BOY	TED	
BOX	BOXSTER S	986320	ا ا ا	2-DR CABRIOLET 6-SP	996310	BOX1	2-SEAT CABRIO 5-SP	986310
		230000	1	2-DR CABRIOLET TIP	993630		2-SEAT CABRIO TIPTRONIC	986310 TIP
				2-DR COUPE	996110	l		
				2-DR COUPE TIP-S	996110 TIP			
		,			,			-
	ROLLS ROYCE	J		ROLLS ROYCE	j		ROLLS ROYCE	J
			BENT	LEY		BENT	rley	
				ARNAGE	BENTLEY BAR		TURBO RT LWB	BENTLEY BTRTL
				CONTINENTAL SC	BENTLEY BCSC		TURBO RT MULLINER	BENTLEY BTRTM
				CONTINENTAL SC MULLINER	BENTLEY BCSCM		TURBO RT SWB	BENTLEY BTRTS
			ROLL	S-ROYCE		ROLL	LS-ROYCE	DOLL C BRECKIN
				SILVER SERAPH	ROLLS RRSS		SILVER SPUR (W/DIVISION) SILVER SPUR PARK WARD	ROLLS RRSSWD ROLLS RRPW
								_
	SAAB	]		SAAB	]		SAAB	]
9.3 SI	ERIES		9.3 SI	ERIES		900 S	ERIES	
	2-DR CONVERTIBLE VIGGEN	382		2-DR CONVERTIBLE 5-SP	322		2-DR CONVT SE TALLEDEGA 5-SP	982
	3-DR HATCHBACK VIGGEN	383		2-DR CONVERTIBLE SE 5-SP	332		2-DR COUPE SE TALLEDEGA 5-SP	983
	5-DR HATCHBACK VIGGEN	385		2-DR CONVERTIBLE SE AUTO	332A		3-DR COUPE S	923
9.5 51	ERIES	504		2-DR CONVERTIBLE SE HOT 5-SP 3-DR HATCHBACK 5-SP	352 323	9000	5-DR HBK SE TALLEDEGA 5-SP SERIES	985
	4-DR SEDAN AERO 5-SP 5-DR WAGON 2.3 5-SP	584 505		5-DR HATCHBACK 5-SP	325	3000	5-DR HATCHBACK CSE AUTO	055 A
	5-DR WAGON SE V6 AUTO	575		5-DR HATCHBACK SE 5-SP	335		5-DR HBK TURBO ANNIV	085
	5-DR WAGON V6 AUTO	565		5-DR HATCHBACK SE AUTO	335A			
				5-DR HATCHBACK SE HOT 5-SP	355	1		
			9.5 SE					
				4-DR SEDAN SE 4CYL 5 SB	504			
				4-DR SEDAN SE 4CYL 5-SP 4-DR SEDAN SE V6 AUTO	514 574A			
				4-DR SEDAN V6 AUTO	564A			
		_			_			_
	SATURN	]		SATURN	J		SATURN	j
LS								
	4-DR SEDAN 5-SP	ZJR19						
	4-DR SEDAN AUTO	ZJS19				1		
LS1	4 00 0504N 5 00	7.1740						
	4-DR SEDAN 5-SP 4-DR SEDAN AUTO	ZJT19 ZJU19				1		
LS2	TON SEDAN AUTO	23013				1		
	4-DR SEDAN AUTO	ZJW19				1		
LW1		~						
LW2	4-DR WAGON AUTO	ZJU35						
-112	4-DR WAGON AUTO	ZJW35						
			l					

AUTOS PAGE 8 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 1999		L	DECEMBER 31, 1998		<u> </u>	DECEMBER 31, 1997	
IDL	MAKE BODY STYLE	CODE	MOL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL	MAKE BODY STYLE	CODE
		•	T			T		<del>-</del>
	SUBARU	J	1	SUBARU	<u>ا</u>	İ	SUBARU	J
APR	EZA		LEG	ACY		IMP	REZA	
	4-DR SEDAN 2.5 RS AWD 5-SP	JC		4-DR SDN GT AWD 30 ANN LTD 5-SP	AE		2-DR COUPE 2.5 RS AWD 5-SP	MC
	4-DR SEDAN 2.5 RS AWD AUTO	JD	ł	4-DR SDN GT AWD 30 ANN LTD AUTO	AF		2-DR COUPE 2.5 RS AWD AUTO	MD
EG#	CY		Ì	4-DR SDN SU AWD AUTO	AH	LEG	BACY	
	4-DR SEDAN GT AWD 5-SP	AC	ĺ	4-DR SDN SU LTD AWD AUTO	Ai	1	4-DR SEDAN GT LTD AWD AUTO	AG
	4-DR SEDAN GT AWD AUTO	AD	1	4-DR SDN SU AWD 30 ANN AUTO	AJ	1	4-DR WGN OUTBACK LTD AWD W/DUAL	. BZ
	4-DR SEDAN GT LTD AWD 5-SP 4-DR SEDAN GT LTD AWD AUTO	AE AF	1	4-DR SDN SU AWD 30 ANN LTD AUTO 4-DR SEDAN L AWD 30 ANN 5-SP	AK AA	j		
	4-DR SEDAN L AWD 5-SP	ĀĀ		4-DR SEDAN L AWD 30 ANN AUTO	AB			
	4-DR SEDAN L AWD AUTO	AB		4-DR WGN L AWD 30 ANN 5-SP	BC	l		
	4-DR SEDAN OUTBACK LTD AWD AUTO	AG	1	4-DR WGN L AWD 30 ANN AUTO	BD	Ì		
	WAGON BRIGHTON AWD 5-SP	BA	ļ	4-DR WGN OUTBACK LTD ANN 5-SP	DX			
	WAGON BRIGHTON AWD AUTO	BB	i	4-DR WGN OUTBACK LTD ANN AUTO	DY			
	WAGON GT AWD 5-SP	BE	1	4-DR WGN SSV AWD AUTO	BS	l		
	WAGON GT AWD AUTO	BF	1	4-DR WGN OUTBACK LTD ANN	DZ			
	WAGON LAWD AUTO	BC BD		W/DUAL SR AUTO 4-DR WGN OUTBACK LTD AWD	UL	1		
	WAGON L AWD AUTO WAGON OUTBACK AW/PK AWD 5-SP	BU	1	30 ANN 5-SP	вх	ļ		
	WAGON OUTBACK AW/PK AWD 5-SP	BV		4-DR WGN OUTBACK LTD AWD				
	WAGON OUTBACK AW/PK W/ICSS AWD	BW		30 ANN AUTO	BY			
	WAGON OUTBACK AW/PK W/ICSS AWD	вх	1	4-DR WGN OUTBACK LTD AWD		1		
	WAGON OUTBACK AWD 5-SP	BQ	1	W/MR 30 ANN AUTO	BZ			
	WAGON OUTBACK AWD AUTO	BR	1					
	WAGON OUTBACK AWD W/ICSS 5-SP	BS	l			1		
	WAGON OUTBACK AWD WICSS AUTO	BT BY				j		
	WAGON OUTBACK LTD AWD 5-SP WAGON OUTBACK LTD AWD AUTO	BZ						
STE	4-DR SEDAN GL 1.8 5-SP	SGL77C SGL78C				EST	EEM  4-DR WAGON GL 5-SP  4-DR WAGON GL AUTO	WGN632 WGN642
	4-DR SEDAN GL 1.8 AUTO 4-DR SEDAN GLX 1.8 5-SP	SGL78C SGL775	1				4-DR WAGON GLX 5-SP	WGN63E
	4-DR SEDAN GLX 1.8 AUTO	SGL785					4-DR WAGON GLX AUTO	WGN64E
	4-DR SEDAN GLX PLUS 1.8 AUTO	SGL78G	1				4-DR WAGON GLX PLUS AUTO	WGN64F
	4-DR SEDAN GLX W/SP PKG 5-SP	SGL77F	l					
	4-DR SEDAN GLX W/SP PKG AUTO	SGL78F				1		
	4-DR WAGON GL 1.8 5-SP	WGN77C	1			1		
	4-DR WAGON GL 1.8 AUTO	WGN78C WGN77E				1		
	4-DR WAGON GLX 1.8 5-SP 4-DR WAGON GLX 1.8 AUTO	WGN7/E WGN78E	1			1		
	4-DR WAGON GLX PLUS 1.8 AUTO	WGM78F				1		
WIF			1					
	3-DR HATCHBACK GL 5-SP	HES533	1			1		
	3-DR HATCHBACK GL AUTO	HES553	1			1		
						1		
	TOVOTA	1	1	TOYOTA	7		TOYOTA	7
	TOYOTA	L	1	L				
VAI	.on		CAM	RY		COI	ROLLA	
	4-DR SEDAN XL BENCH	3536		2-DR COUPE SOLARA 4CYL SE 5-SP	2731		4-DR SEDAN CE 5-SP	1721
	4-DR SEDAN XL BUCKETS	3534	1	2-DR COUPE SOLARA 4CYL SE AUTO	2732		4-DR SEDAN CE AUTO	1722
	4-DR SEDAN XLS BENCH	3546		2-DR COUPE SOLARA V6 SE 5-SP	2733		4-DR SEDAN LE 5-SP	1737 1738
	4-DR SEDAN XLS BUCKETS	3544		2-DR COUPE SOLARA V6 SE AUTO	2734 2744		4-DR SEDAN LE AUTO 4-DR SEDAN VE 5-SP	1738
	CA			2-DR COUPE SOLARA V6 SLE AUTO 4-DR SEDAN LE V6 5-SP	2533	1	4-DR SEDAN VE SUTO	1715
ELI				TUR DEURIT LE TU JOF	1000	1	,	
ELI	2-DR LIFTBACK GTS 5-SP	2133 2134	1			1		
ELI	2-DR LIFTBACK GTS 5-SP 2-DR LIFTBACK GTS AUTO	2134						
ELI	2-DR LIFTBACK GTS 5-SP							



AUTOS PAGE 9 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 199	9		DECEMBER 31, 1998		DECEMBER 31, 1997	
	MAKE		-	MAKE			CODE
DL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL BODY STYLE	
	TOYOTA			TOYOTA		TOYOTA	
СН	2		·				
	2-DR SEDAN 5-SP	1413					
	2-DR SEDAN AUTO	1414					
	4-DR SEDAN 5-SP	1415	İ				
	4-DR SEDAN AUTO	1416					
	VOLKSWAGEN			VOLKSWAGEN		VOLKSWAGEN	
EE.	rLE		BEE	TLE		BEETLE	
	2-DR GLS 1.8 5-SP	1C15T4		2-DR GLS 2.0 5-SP	1C15K4	2-DR HATCHBACK 5-SP	1C13L4
	2-DR GLS 1.8 AUTO	1C15T3		2-DR GLS 2.0 AUTO	1C15K3	2-DR HATCHBACK AUTO	1C13L3
	2-DR GLX 1.8 5-SP	1C17T4		2-DR GLS 2.0 CNYM 5-SP	1C15M4		1C13M4
	2-DR GLX 1.8 AUTO	1C17T3	l	2-DR GLS 2.0 CNYM AUTO	1C15M3		1C13M3
AB	RIO		GOL			i e	1C1354
	2-DR GL 5-SP	1V72N4		2-DR HATCHBACK GTI VR6 5-SP	1WIVT4	1	1C1353
	2-DR GL AUTO	1V72N3	1	2-DR HATCHBACK GTI VR6 CNYM 5-SP	1W1VM4	CABRIO	41/72044
	2-DR GLS 5-SP	1V73N4		4-DR HATCHBACK GL 5-SP	1W13Q4	•	1V72M4
	2-DR GLS AUTO	1V73N3	1	4-DR HATCHBACK GL CNYM 6-SB	1W13Q3		1V72M3
ETT		9M28VV4		4-DR HATCHBACK GL CNYM 5-SP 4-DR HATCHBACK GL CNYM AUTO	1W13M4 1W13M3	i i	1V73M4 1V73M3
	4-DR SEDAN GLS 2.8 5-SP			4-DR HATCHBACK WOLFSBURG 5-SP	1W1WQ4	JETTA	14/3/43
	4-DR SEDAN GLS 2.8 AUTO 4-DR SEDAN GLX 2.8 5-SP	9M28VV3 9M26VV4		4-DR HATCHBACK WOLFSBURG SUTO	1W1WQ3		1H2UM4
	4-DR SEDAN GLX 2.8 3-3F	9M26W3		4-DR HATCHBACK WOLFSBURG CNYM 5			1W2LQ4
066	SAT	3M20113		4-DR HATCHBACK WOLFSBURG CNYM A		1	1W2LQ3
٠.,	4-DR SEDAN GLX 5-SP	3B25SR	JETT			4-DR SEDAN K2 CNYM 5-SP	1W2LM4
	4-DR SEDAN GLX AUTO	3B25ST	1	4-DR SEDAN GL 2.0 5-SP	9M22K4	4-DR SEDAN K2 CNYM AUTO	1W2LM3
	5-DR WAGON GLX 5-SP	3B55SR		4-DR SEDAN GL 2.0 AUTO	9M22K3	PASSAT	
	5-DR WAGON GLX AUTO	3B55ST		4-DR SEDAN GL 2.0 CNYM 5-SP	9M22M4		3B24K5
				4-DR SEDAN GL 2.0 CNYM AUTO	9M22M3	4-DR SEDAN GLS AUTO	3B24K9
			1	4-DR SEDAN GL TDI 1.9 5-SP	9M2254	4-DR SEDAN GLS TDI 5-SP	3B2445
			1	4-DR SEDAN GL TDI 1.9 AUTO	9M2253	4-DR SEDAN GLS TDI AUTO	3B2448
			1	4-DR SEDAN GLS 2.0 5-SP	9M28K4	4-DR SEDAN GLS V6 5-SP	3B24S5
				4-DR SEDAN GLS 2.0 AUTO	9M28K3	4-DR SEDAN GLS V6 AUTO	3B24S9
				4-DR SEDAN GLS 2.0 CNYM 5-SP	9M28M4	4-DR SEDAN GLX 5-SP	3B25S5
				4-DR SEDAN GLS 2.0 CYNM AUTO	9M28M3	4-DR SEDAN GLX AUTO	3B25S9
	•		1	4-DR SEDAN GLS TDI 1.9 5-SP	9M2854		
				4-DR SEDAN GLS TDI 1.9 AUTO	9M2853		
			PAS	4-DR SEDAN GLX SYNCRO AUTO	3B25S6		
				5-DR WAGON GLS 5-SP	3B54K5		
				5-DR WAGON GLS AUTO	3B54K9		
				5-DR WAGON GLS V6 5-SP	3B54S5		
	•		1	5-DR WAGON GLS V6 AUTO	3B54S9		
				5-DR WAGON GLX SYNCRO AUTO	3B54S6		
	VOLVO	_		VOLVO		VOLVO	
		<del></del>	70.0	EDIE		70 SERIES	
SI	RIES	C404	/0 8	ERIES  C70 2-DR CONVERTIBLE AUTO	C70LTACV	1	V70AWXC
	S40 4-DR SEDAN AUTO	S40A S40AS		C70 2-DR CONVERTIBLE AUTO	C70LTACV	C70 2-DR COUPE 5-SP	C70M
	S40 4-DR SEDAN W/DR AUTO V40 5-DR WAGON AUTO	V40AS		S70 4-DR SEDAN AWD AUTO	S70AWDA	C70 2-DR COUPE AUTO	C70A
	V40 5-DR WAGON W/SR AUTO	V40AS	80 S	ERIES	_,	S70 4-DR SEDAN T5 5-SP	S70T5M
) SI	ERIES	7 UNIO		4-DR SEDAN 2.9 AUTO	S80 2.9	V70 5-DR WAGON R AWD AUTO	V70RAWA
	C70 2-DR CONVERTIBLE HT 5-SP	C70HTMCV		4-DR SEDAN T-6 AUTO	S80 T-6		∨70T5M
	C70 2-DR COUPE LT AUTO	C70LTA					
			+				
			-				
			-				
				** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			



# LIFO NEW ITEM REPORT

PLEASE NOTE: All articles and the entire contents of this publication are subject to copyright and are the proprietary intellectual property of the author and publisher, Willard J. De Filipps. No article, nor any portion of this publication, is to be reproduced or distributed without the express written authorization of Willard J. De Filipps. Any prior permission to reproduce and/or distribute, unless expressed in a written document, is null and void.

## NEW ITEM CATEGORIES FOR NEW LIGHT-DUTY TRUCKS UNDER THE ALTERNATIVE LIFO (LAST-IN, FIRST-OUT) METHOD FOR AUTOMOBILE DEALERS REVENUE PROCEDURES 97-36 & 92-79

TRUCKS
PAGE 1 OF 9

W/R/T NEW VEHICLE INVENTORIES FOR TAXABLE YEARS ENDING ON DECEMBER 31, 1999 - 1998 - 1997

**DECEMBER 31, 1999 DECEMBER 31, 1998 DECEMBER 31, 1997** MAKE MAKE MAKE MOL BODY STYLE CODE MDL BODY STYLE CODE CODE MDL BODY STYLE ACURA ACURA ACURA SLX SPORT UTILITY 4-DR AUTO 3.5 4WD 9C427 BMW BMW BMW X5 SPORT UTILITY VEHICLE 62 CADILLAC CADILLAC CADILLAC ESCALADE 4-DR SPORT UTILITY 6K10706 CHEVROLET/GEO CHEVROLET/GEO CHEVROLET/GEO BLAZER S10 PICKUP ASTRO VAN 4-DR LS PLUS W/1SX CWOI CS10506 1SX CS10653 PASSENGER VAN AWD CWO CL11006 CWOI 2WD EXT CAB BASE 4-DR LS W/1SW CWOI CS10506 1SW CT10653 BLAZER 4WD EXT CAB BASE CT10506 1SX 2-DR 2WD BASE CS10516 4-DR LS W/1SX CWOI SILVERADO 4-DR LT W/1SW CWO CT10506 1SW CC15953 LS4DR 2-DR 2WD LS CS10516 LS 4-DR 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LS LWB CS10506 1SY 4-DR LT W/1SY CWO! 4-DR 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LS SWB CC15753 LS4DR 2-DR 4WD BASE CT10516 CT10506 1SY CC15753 LT4DR 2-DR 4WD LS CT10516 LS 4-DR LT W/1SY CWOL 4-DR 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LT SWB CS10506 LS C-K PICKUP 4-DR 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LWB CC15953 4DR 4-DR 2WD LS CS10506 LT 2WD C1500 F/S EXT CWO CC10753 CWOI CC15753 4DR 4-DR 2WD LT 4-DR 2WD C1500 EXT CAB SWB CS10506 TB 2WD C1500 S/S XCAB SWB SILVERADO CC10753 SSS 4-DR 2WD TRAILBLAZER 4-DR 2WD C2500 EXT CAB LS LWB CC25953 LS4DR CC10953 CWOI CT10506 LS 2WD F/S EXT CWO 4-DR 2WD C2500 EXT CAB LS SWB CC25753 LS4DR 4-DR 4WD IS CK10753 SSS 4WD K1500 S/S XCAB SWB SILVERADO 4-DR 2WD C2500 EXT CAB LT LWB CC25953 LT4DR 4-DR 4WD LT CT10506 LT CC25753 LT4DR 4-DR 4WD TRAILBLAZER CT10506 TB S10 PICKUP 4-DR 2WD C2500 EXT CAB LT SWB CS10653 FLS1ST C-K PICKUP 2WD F/S EXT CAB LS 1ST CWOI CC25953 4DR 4-DR 2WD C2500 EXT CAB LWB 2WD F/S EXT CAB LS 1SW CWOI CS10653 1SW CC20743 4-DR 2WD C2500 EXT CAB SWB CC25753 4DR 2WD C2500 CREW CAB SWB CS10653 1ST 2WD S/S EXT CAB LS 1ST CWOL 4-DR 4WD C1500 EXT CAB LT LWB CC15953 LT4DR 2WD C3500 CREW CAB SWB CC30743 CK20743 2WD S/S REG CAB LS 1ST CWOI CS10603 1ST CK15953 LS4DR 4WD K2500 CREW CAB SWB 4-DR 4WD K1500 EXT CAB LS LWB SUBURBAN 4WD K3500 CREW CAB SWB CK30743 CK15753 LS4DR A-DR AWD K1500 EXT CAB LS SWB CC10906 CWOI 2WD C1500 CWOI SILVERADO 4-DR 4WD K1500 EXT CAB LT LWB CK15953 LT4DR 4WD C1500 CWOI CK10906 CWOI 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LS LWB CC15953 LS 4-DR 4WD K1500 EXT CAB LT SWB CK15753 LT4DR TAHOE CC15753 LS 4-DR 4WD K1500 EXT CAB LWB CK15953 4DR 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LS SWB 4-DR 2WD CWOL CC10706 CWOI CK15753 4DR 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LT LWB CC15953 LT 4-DR 4WD K1500 EXT CAB SWB CK10706 CWOI 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LT SWB CC15753 LT 4-DR 4WD CWO! 4-DR 4WD K2500 EXT CAB LS LWB CK25753 LS4DR CC15953 TRACKER 2WD C1500 EXT CAB LWB 4-DR 4WD K2500 EXT CAB LS LWB CK25953 LS4DR CE10367 CWOI 2-DR 2WD CONVERTIBLE CWOI CK25953 LT4DR 2WD C1500 EXT CAB SWB CC15753 4-DR 4WD K2500 EXT CAB LT LWB 2-DR 4WD CONVERTIBLE CWOI CJ10367 CWOI CC15903 LS 2WD C1500 REG CAB LS LWB 4-DR 4WD K2500 EXT CAB LT SWB CK25753 LT4DR 4-DR 2WD HARDTOP CWOI **CE10305 CWOI** CK25953 4DR 2WD C1500 REG CAB LS SWB CC15703 LS 4-DR 4WD K2500 EXT CAB LWB CJ10305 CWOI 4-DR 4WD HARDTOP CWO 2WD C1500 REG CAB LWB CC15903 4-DR 4WD K2500 EXT CAB SWB CK25753 4DR



TRUCKS
PAGE 2 OF 9

Ĺ	DECEMBER 31, 1999		DECEMBER 31, 19	98	DECEMBER 31, 1997	7
MDI	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL BODY STYLE	CODE	MAKE MDL BODYSTYLE	CODE
	1001.01.122	_			INDE   BODY OFFICE	
	CHEVROLET/GEO		CHEVROLET/GEO		CHEVROLET/GEO	
SHR	URBAN		SILVERADO (continued)		VENTURE	
306	2WD 1500	CC15906	2WD C1500 REG CAB SWB	CC15703	3-DR CARGO EXT WB	1UM06 Z10
	2WD 2500	CC25906	2WD C2500 EXT CAB LS LWB	CC25953 LS	4-DR CARGO EXT WB	1UM16 Z10
	4WD 1500	CK15906	2WD C2500 EXT CAB LT LWB	CC25953 LT	4-DR CARGO EXT WB 1SW CWOI	1UM16 1SW
	4WD 2500	CK25906	2WD C2500 EXT CAB LT SWB	CC25753 LT		
TAH	DE		2WD C2500 EXT CAB LWB	CC25953		
	4-DR 2WD	CC15706	2WD C2500 EXT CAB SWB	CC25753		
	4-DR 2WD LT	CC10706 LT	2WD C2500 EXT CAB LS SWB	CC25753 LS		
	4-DR 4WD	CK15706	2WD C2500 REG CAB H/D	CC25903 HD		
	4-DR 4WD Z71	CK10706 Z71	2WD C2500 REG CAB H/D LS	CC25903 HDLS		
VEN	TURE	41 (N446 VAID	2WD C2500 REG CAB L/D 2WD C2500 REG CAB L/D LS	CC25903 LD CC25903 LDLS		
	4-DR PASS WARNER BROS ED LWB 4-DR PASSENGER LS LWB	1UM16 WB 1UM16 LS	4WD K1500 EXT CAB LS LWB	CK15953 LS		
	4-DR PASSENGER LS EVB	1UN16 LS	4WD K1500 EXT CAB LS SWB	CK15753 LS		
	4-DR PASSENGER LT LWB	1UM16 LT	4WD K1500 EXT CAB LT LWB	CK15953 LT		
	4-DR PASSENGER PLUS LWB	1UM16 PL	4WD K1500 EXT CAB LT SWB	CK15753 LT		
	4-DR PASSENGER PLUS SWB	1UN16 PL	4WD K1500 EXT CAB LWB	CK15953		
	4-DR PASSENGER VALUE SWB	1UN16 VAL	4WD K1500 EXT CAB SWB	CK15753		
			4WD K1500 REG CAB LS LWB	CK15903 LS		
			4WD K1500 REG CAB LS SWB	CK15703 LS		
			4WD K1500 REG CAB LWB	CK15903		
			4WD K1500 REG CAB SWB	CK15703		
			4WD K2500 EXT CAB LS LWB	CK25953 LS		
			4WD K2500 EXT CAB LS SWB 4WD K2500 EXT CAB LT LWB	CK25753 LS CK25953 LT		
			4WD K2500 EXT CAB LT SWB	CK25753 LT		
			4WD K2500 EXT CAB LWB	CK25953		
			4WD K2500 EXT CAB SWB	CK25753		
			4WD K2500 REG CAB	CK25903		
			4WD K2500 REG CAB LS	CK25903 LS		
			SILVERADO CHASSIS CAB			
			2WD C2500 FS CHASSIS CAB	CC25903 CC		
			2WD C2500 FS CHASSIS CAB LS	CC25903 CCLS		
			4WD K2500 FS CHASSIS CAB	CK25903 CC		
			4WD K2500 FS CHASSIS CAB LS	CK25903 CCLS		
			TRACKER 2-DR 2WD CONVERTIBLE	CE10367		
			2-DR 4WD CONVERTIBLE	CJ10367		
			4-DR 2WD HARDTOP	CE10305		
			4-DR 4WD HARDTOP	CJ10305		
	CHRYSLER	7	CHRYSLER		CHRYSLER	
TOW	N & COUNTRY		TOWN & COUNTRY			
	MPV LXI AWD	NSCP53	MPV LTD AWD	NSCS53 LTD		
	MPV LXI FWD	NSYP53	MPV LTD FWD	NSYS53 LTD		
	20205	7	DODGE		DODGE	7
	DODGE	J	DODGE		DODGE	
CAR	AVAN		CARAVAN		DURANGO	
OAIO	GRAND CARAVAN SPORT AWD	NSDH53 SP	CARAVAN SPORT	NSKH52 SP	4-DR 4WD WAGON	DN5L74
DAK		-	GRAND CARAVAN ES	NSKX53 ES	RAM PICKUP	
	4X2 QUAD CAB	AN1L84	GRAND CARAVAN ES AWD	NSDX53 ES	2WD BR1500 QUAD CAB LWB	BE1L34
	4X4 QUAD CAB	AN5L84	GRAND CARAVAN SPORT	NSKH53 SP	2WD BR1500 QUAD CAB SWB	BE1L33
RAM			DURANGO	DN41.74	2WD BR2500 QUAD CAB LWB	BE2L34 BE2L33
	2500 CONVERSION VAN 127WB	AB2X12	4-DR 2WD WAGON	DN1L74	2WD BR2500 QUAD CAB SWB 2WD BR3500 QUAD CAB DRW	BE3L34
	3500 CONV. MAXI VAN 127WB	AB3X13			4WD BR1500 QUAD CAB DRW	BE6L34
	3500 CONVERSION VAN 127WB	AB3X12			4WD BR1500 QUAD CAB EWB	BE6L33
					4WD BR2500 QUAD CAB LWB	BE7L33
					4WD BR2500 QUAD CAB LWB	BE7L34
l					4WD BR3500 QUAD CAB 4WD DRW	BE8L34
					RAM VANS	
			i .		B1500 MAXI-VAN 127 WB	AB1L13



LIGHT-DUTY TRUCKS PAGE 3 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 1999		<u> </u>	DECEMBER 31, 1998	 	L	DECEMBER 31, 19	97
MDI	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDI	BODY STYLE	CODE	MO	BODY STYLE	CODE
MUL	BODISTILE	CODE	MUL	IBODI STILE	TODE	MUL	[BODT STILE	CODE
	FORD	1	1	FORD	7		FORD	7
		•						
CUTA	AWAY VAN		F250	SUPER DUTY PICKUP		RAN	GER	
	COMM CUTAWAY S.D 158 WB	E45 158	1	2WD CREW CAB LARIAT LWB	W20 LARLWB		4X2 REG CAB SPLASH 112 WB	R10 SPL112
CON	NOLINE VAN/WAGON		1	2WD CREW CAB LARIAT SWB	W20 LARSWB		4X2 REG CAB XL 112 WB	R10 XL112
	E150 4X2 RV VAN	E14 RV	1	2WD CREW CAB XL LWB	W20 XLLWB	1	4X2 REG CAB XL 118 WB	R10 XL118
	E250 4X2 EXT RV VAN	S24 RV		2WD CREW CAB XL SWB	W20 XLSWB	ĺ	4X2 REG CAB XLT 112 WB	R10 XLT112
	E250 4X2 REG RV VAN	E24 RV	į	2WD CREW CAB XLT LWB	W20 XLTLWB	1	4X2 REG CAB XLT 118 WB	R10 XLT118
	E350 4X2 SUPER DUTY EXT RV VAN	S34 RV		2WD CREW CAB XLT SWB	W20 XLTSWB		4X2 SUPERCAB SPLASH 126 WB	R14 SPL126
	E350 4X2 SUPER DUTY RV VAN	E34 RV	l	2WD REG CAB LARIAT	F20 LAR		4X2 SUPERCAB XL 126 WB	R14 XL126
XCU	JRSION		1	2WD REG CAB XL	F20 XL		4X2 SUPERCAB XLT 126 WB	R14 XLT126
	4X2 WAGON LTD	U42	1	2WD REG CAB XLT	F20 XLT	l	4X4 REG CAB SPLASH 112 WB	R11 SPL112
	4X2 WAGON XLT	U40	1	2WD SUPERCAB LARIAT LWB	X20 LARLWB	1	4X4 REG CAB XL 112 WB	R11 XL112
	4X4 WAGON LTD	U43	l	2WD SUPERCAB LARIAT SWB	X20 LARSWB		4X4 REG CAB XL 118 XB	R11 XL118
VBI	4X4 WAGON XLT ORER	U41	l	2WD SUPERCAR XL LWB	X20 XLLWB X20 XLSWB		4X4 REG CAB XLT 112 WB 4X4 REG CAB XLT 118 WB	R11 XLT112 R11 XLT118
APL		1163 VI 6	1	2WD SUPERCAR XLT LWB	X20 XLTLWB	l	4X4 SUPERCAB SPLASH 126 WB	R15 SPL126
	4X2 4-DR XLS 4X4 4-DR XLS	U62 XLS U72 XLS	1	2WD SUPERCAB XLT LWB 2WD SUPERCAB XLT SWB	X20 XLTCVB	l	4X4 SUPERCAB SEASH 120 WB	R15 XL126
YPI	ORER SPORT	0/2 ALS		4WD CREW CAB LARIAT LWB	W21 LARLWB	1	4X4 SUPERCAB XLT 126 WB	R15 XLT126
–	4X2 2-DR WAGON SPORT	U <b>60</b>	1	4WD CREW CAB LARIAT SWB	W21 LARSWB	l	301 213 2 721 120 110	nei izo
	4X2 2-DR WAGON SPORT	U67	1	4WD CREW CAB XL LWB	W21 XLLWB			
	4X4 2-DR WAGON SPORT	U70		4WD CREW CAB XL SWB	W21 XLSWB			
	4X4 4-DR SPORT TRAC	U77	1	4WD CREW CAB XLT LWB	W21 XLTLWB			
150	PICKUP		l	4WD CREW CAB XLT SWB	W21 XLTSWB			
	4X2 REG CAB F/S SVT LIGHTNING SWB	F07 SVTSWB	1	4WD REG CAB LARIAT	F21 LAR			
150	SUPERCREW		1	4WD REG CAB XL	F21 XL			
	2WD CREW CAB LARIAT	W07 LAR	{	4WD REG CAB XLT	F21 XLT			
	2WD CREW CAB XLT	W07	1	4WD SUPERCAB LARIAT LWB	X21 LARLWB			
	4WD CREW CAB LARIAT	W08 LAR	l	4WD SUPERCAB LARIAT SWB	X21 LARSWB			
	4WD CREW CAB XLT	W08	1	4WD SUPERCAB XL LWB	X21 XLLWB			
VIND	STAR		ļ	4WD SUPERCAB XL SWB	X21 XLSWB			
	4-DR WAGON LIMITED	A53 LTD	l	4WD SUPERCAB XLT LWB	X21 XLTLWB			
			ì	4WD SUPERCAB XLT SWB	X21 XLTSWB			
			F350	SUPER DUTY PICKUP		l		
			1	2WD CREW CAB LARIAT DRW LWB	W32 LARLWB			
			l	2WD CREW CAB LARIAT DRW SWB	W32 LARSWB			
			ł	2WD CREW CAB LARIAT SRW LWB	W30 LARLWB	1		
				2WD CREW CAB XI, DRIVING	W30 LARSWB W32 XLLWB			
			1	2WD CREW CAB XL DRW LWB 2WD CREW CAB XL DRW SWB	W32 XLSWB			
				2WD CREW CAB AL DRW SWB	W30 XLLWB	1		
			l	2WD CREW CAB XL SRW SWB	W30 XLSWB			
				2WD CREW CAB XLT DRW LWB	W32 XLTLWB	l		
				2WD CREW CAB XLT DRW SWB	W32 XLTSWB			
				2WD CREW CAB XLT SRW LWB	W30 XLTLWB	ĺ		
			1	2WD CREW CAB XLT SRW SWB	W30 XLTSWB			
			1	2WD REG CAB LARIAT DRW	F32 LAR	l		
			1	2WD REG CAB LARIAT SRW	F30 LAR	l		
			1	2WD REG CAB XL DRW	F32 XL	l		
				2WD REG CAB XL SRW	F30 XL			
				2WD REG CAB XLT DRW	F32 XLT			
				2WD REG CAB XLT SRW	F30 XLT	١		
			1	2WD SUPERCAB LARIAT DRW	X32 LAR	1		
			1	2WD SUPERCAB LARIAT SRW LWB	X30 LARLWB	1		
			1	2WD SUPERCAB LARIAT SRW SWB	X30 LARSWB	l		
			1	2WD SUPERCAB XL DRW	X32 XL	l		
			1	2WD SUPERCAB XL SRW LWB	X30 XLLWB	1		
			1	2WD SUPERCAB XL SRW SWB	X30 XLSWB	1		
			]	2WD SUPERCAB XLT DRW	X32 XLT			
				2WD SUPERCAB XLT SRW LWB	X30 XLTLWB X30 XLTSWB			
			1	2WD SUPERCAB XLT SRW SWB 4WD CREW CAB LARIAT DRW LWB	W33 LARLWB			
			1	4WD CREW CAB LARIAT DRW LWB	W33 LARSWB	1		
			1	4WD CREW CAB LARIAT DRW SWB	W31 LARLWB	1		
			1		W31 LARSWB	1		
			1	4WD CREW CAB LARIAT SRW SWB	**** 5-110***	1		



LIGHT-DUTY TRUCKS PAGE 4 OF 9

DECEMBER 31, 1	999	DECEMBER 31, 199		DECEMBER 3	1, 1331
BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL BODY STYLE	CODE	MAKE MOL BODY STYLE	CODE
BODTSTILE	ICODE	MUL IBOUT STILE	ICODE	MUL IBOUT STITLE	ICODE
FORD		FORD		FORD	
					<del> </del>
		F350 SUPER DUTY PICKUP (continued)			
		4WD CREW CAB XL DRW LWB	W33 XLLWB		
		4WD CREW CAB XL DRW SWB	W33 XLSWB W31 XLLWB		
		4WD CREW CAB XL SRW LWB 4WD CREW CAB XL SRW SWB	W31 XLSWB	1	
		4WD CREW CAB XLT DRW LWB	W33 XLTLWB		
		4WD CREW CAB XLT DRW SWB	W33 XLTSWB		
		4WD CREW CAB XLT SRW LWB	W31 XLTLWB		
		4WD CREW CAB XLT SRW SWB	W31 XLTSWB		
		4WD REG CAB LARIAT DRW	F33 LAR		
		4WD REG CAB LARIAT SRW	F31 LAR		
		4WD REG CAB XL DRW	F33 XL		
		4WD REG CAB XL SRW	F31 XL		
		4WD REG CAB XLT DRW	F33 XLT		
		4WD REG CAB XLT SRW	F31 XLT	1	
		4WD SUPERCAB LARIAT DRW	X33 LAR		
		4WD SUPERCAB LARIAT SRW LWB	X31 LARLWB		
		4WD SUPERCAB LARIAT SRW SWB	X31 LARSWB	1	
		4WD SUPERCAB XL DRW	X33 XL X31 XLLWB	j	
		4WD SUPERCAB XL SRW LWB 4WD SUPERCAB XL SRW SWB	X31 XLSWB		
		4WD SUPERCAB XLT DRW	X33 XLT		
		4WD SUPERCAB XLT SRW LWB	X31 XLTLWB	•	
		4WD SUPERCAB XLT SRW SWB	X31 XLTSWB		
		SUPER DUTY CAB/CHASSIS			
		2WD F350 CREW CAB DRW XL	W36 XL	1	
		2WD F350 CREW CAB DRW XLT	W36 XLT		
		2WD F350 CREW CAB SRW XL	W34 XL	1	
		2WD F350 CREW CAB SRW XLT	W34 XLT		
		2WD F350 REG CAB DRW XL LWB	F36 XLLWB		
		2WD F350 REG CAB DRW XL SWB	F36 XLSWB		
		2WD F350 REG CAB DRW XLT LWB	F36 XLTLWB	1	
		2WD F350 REG CAB DRW XLT SWB	F36 XLTSWB		
		2WD F350 REG CAB SRW XL	F34 XL		•
		2WD F350 REG CAB SRW XLT	F34 XLT	İ	
		2WD F350 SUPERCAB DRW XL	X36 XL		
		2WD F350 SUPERCAB DRW XLT	X36 XLT X34 XL		
		2WD F350 SUPERCAB SRW XL 2WD F350 SUPERCAB SRW XLT	X34 XLT		
		4WD F350 CREW CAB DRW XL	W37 XL		
		4WD F350 CREW CAB DRW ALT	W37 XLT	1	
		4WD F350 CREW CAB SRW XL	W35 XL	1	
		4WD F350 CREW CAB SRW XLT	W35 XLT		
		4WD F350 REG CAB DRW XL LWB	F37 XLLWB		
		4WD F350 REG CAB DRW XL SWB	F37 XLSWB	1	
		4WD F350 REG CAB DRW XLT LWB	F37 XLTLWB	1	
		4WD F350 REG CAB DRW XLT SWB	F37 XLTSWB		
		4WD F350 REG CAB SRW XL	F35 XL		
		4WD F350 REG CAB SRW XLT	F35 XLT		
		4WD F350 SUPERCAB DRW XL	X37 XL		
		4WD F350 SUPERCAB DRW XLT	X37 XLT	1	
	4	4WD F350 SUPERCAB SRW XL	X35 XL		
		4WD F350 SUPERCAB SRW XLT	X35 XLT	ł	
		WINDSTAR	AE4	1	
		3/4-DR WAGON I V	A51 A51 LX	'	
		3/4-DR WAGON LX 4-DR WAGON SE	A51 LA A52		
		4-DR WAGON SE 4-DR WAGON SEL	A53	1	
		VAN	A54		
		YOU		1	
				1	
· ·				•	

TRUCKS
PAGE 5 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 1999			D	ECEMBER 31, 199	•		DECEMBER 31, 199	7
8804	MAKE	Loope	4401	Inony and c	MAKE	Toons	4001	MAKE	leens
MUL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL	BODYSTYLE		CODE	MDL	BODYSTYLE	CODE
	GMC TRUCKS	<b>]</b>		GM	C TRUCKS		j	GMC TRUCKS	
JIMN	ry		с-к	BIERRA PICKU	P		C-K	SIERRA PICKUP	
	2WD 4-DR WAGON JDE 1SH/1SJ	TS10506 1SH		2WD 2500 CREV		TC20743		C1500 S/S EXT CAB SWB	C10753 SS
	4WD 4-DR WAGON JDE 1SH/1SJ	TT10506 1SH		4WD 2500 CREV	V CAB 154.5	TK20743	Ì	C1500 W/S EXT CAB SWB 1SV CWOI	C10753 1SV
	4WD 4-DR WAGON JDE 1SK	TT10506 1SK	1	2WD 3500 CREV	V CAB 154.5	TC30743		K1500 S/S EXT CAB SWB	K10753 SS
SIER	RA 2500 CAB/CHASSIS		l	4WD 3500 CRE\	V CAB 154.5	TK30743	CHAS	SSIS LO-PRO	
	C2500 2WD SL	TC25903 SL	JIMM		ON BOO	TC40546 D00	İ	FORWARD CONTROL CHASSIS	TP30842
	C2500 2WD SLE K2500 4WD SL	TC25903 SLE TK25903 SL		2WD 2-DR WAG 2WD 4-DR WAG		TS10516 R9S TS10506 YC5	JIMM	FORWARD CONTROL CHASSIS	TP31042
	K2500 4WD SLE	TK25903 SLE	1	2WD 4-DR WAG		TS10506 YC6	S IMM	2WD 4-DR WAGON R6V CWOI	TS10506 R6V
SIER	RA PICKUP	1140000 022		4WD 2-DR WAG		TT10516 R9S	l	4-DR 4WD WAGON YC6 CWOI	TT10506 CWOI
	2WD 4-DR 1500 EXT CAB SL LWB	TC15953 SL4DR	1	4WD 4-DR WAG		TT10506 ENV	S15 S	SONOMA	
	2WD 4-DR 1500 EXT CAB SL SWB	TC15753 SL4DR		4WD 4-DR WAG	ON YC5	TT10506 YC5	1	2WD S/S REG CAB CWOI	TS10603 CWOI
	2WD 4-DR 1500 EXT CAB SLE LWB	TC15953 SLE4DR		4WD 4-DR WAG	ON YC6	TT10506 YC6		2WD W/S EXT CAB 1SV CWOI	S10653 1SV
	2WD 4-DR 1500 EXT CAB SLE SWB		SIER	RA CLASSIC				2WD W/S EXT CAB R6V CWOI	S10653 R6V
	2WD 4-DR 2500 EXT CAB SL SWB	TC25753 SL4DR		2WD 1500 W/S		TC10753	YUK		
	2WD 4-DR 2500 EXT CAB SL SWB	TC25953 SL4DR		4WD 1500 W/S I	EXT CAB	TK10753		4-DR 4WD YUKON DENALI	K10706 DEN
	2WD 4-DR 2500 EXT CAB SLE LWB 2WD 4-DR 2500 EXT CAB SLE SWB	TC25953 SLE4D TC25753 SLE4DR		RA PICKUP 2WD 1500 EXT (	AR SI I WR	TC15953 SL	1		
	4WD 4-DR 1500 EXT CAB SLE SWB	TK15953 SLE4DR	ı	2WD 1500 EXT (		TC15953 SL			
	4WD 4-DR 1500 EXT CAB SL SWB	TK15753 SL4DR	1	2WD 1500 EXT		TC15953 SLE			
	4WD 4-DR 1500 EXT CAB SLE LWB	TK15953 SLE4DR	[	2WD 1500 EXT		TC15753 SLE			
	4WD 4-DR 1500 EXT CAB SLE SWB	TK15753 SLE4DR		2WD 1500 REG	CAB SL LWB	TC15903 SL			
	4WD 4-DR 2500 EXT CAB SL LWB	TK25953 SL4DR		2WD 1500 REG	CAB SL SWB	TC15703 SL			
	4WD 4-DR 2500 EXT CAB SL SWB	TK25753 SL4DR	l	2WD 1500 REG		TC15903 SLE			
	4WD 4-DR 2500 EXT CAB SLE LWB	TK25953 SLE4DR	ļ.	2WD 1500 REG		TC15703 SLE			
	4WD 4-DR 2500 EXT CAB SLE SWB	TK25753 SLE4DR	İ	2WD 2500 EXT (		TC25953 SL			
SON		T040663 D00		2WD 2500 EXT (		TC25753 SL TC25953 SLE	ļ		
	2WD W/S EXT CAB R9S 4WD W/S EXT CAB R9S	TS10653 R9S TT10653 R9S		2WD 2500 EXT 0		TC25753 SLE	l		
YUK		1110003 K33		2WD 2500 REG		TC25903 SLHD			
	2WD YUKON XL 1/2 TON	TC15906	1	2WD 2500 REG		TC25903 SL			
	2WD YUKON XL 3/4 TON	TC25906	l	2WD 2500 REG		TC25903 SLEHD			
	2WD 4-DR YUKON	TC15706		2WD 2500 REG	CAB SLE L/D	TC25903 SLE			
	4WD 4-DR YUKON	TK15706		4WD 1500 EXT (	AB SL LWB	TK15953 SL			
	4WD YUKON XL 1/2 TON	TK15906	1.50	4WD 1500 EXT 0	AB SL SWB	TK15753 SL	ļ		
	4WD YUKON XL 3/4 TON	TK25906		4WD 1500 EXT (		TK15953 SLE			
				4WD 1500 EXT 0		TK15753 SLE			
				4WD 1500 REG		TK15903 SL			
		*		4WD 1500 REG		TK15703 SL TK15903 SLE			
			1	4WD 1500 REG 4WD 1500 REG		TK15703 SLE			
			l	4WD 2500 EXT (		TK25953 SL			
			l	4WD 2500 EXT (		TK25753 SL			
				4WD 2500 EXT (		TK25953 SLE	l		
			1	4WD 2500 EXT	AB SLE SWB	TK25753 SLE			
			1	4WD 2500 REG	CAB SL	TK25903 SL			
			l	4WD 2500 REG	CAB SLE	TK25903 SLE			
	HONDA	7	ł	r	HONDA	7		HONDA	7
		₹		<u> </u>					
ODY	SSEY		CR-V		TO.	DD084	CR-V		RD184
	5-DR EX-NAVI 4-SP	RL187		2WD 5-DR LX AL		RD284 RD176		4WD 5-DR LX AUTO 4WD 5-DR AUTO W/ABS	RD185
			l	4WD 5-DR EX 5- 4WD 5-DR EX A		RD176 RD186	PASS	SPORT	110 100
				4WD 5-DR LX 5-		RD174		2WD 4-DR EX AUTO	9B226
			ODY	SSEY				2WD 4-DR EX AUTO WILEATHER	9B227
		- 1	l		ROW BUCKET AUTO	RL186	1	2WD 4-DR LX 5-SP	9B214
				5-DR LX W/2ND	ROW BENCH AUTO	RL184	1	2WD 4-DR LX AUTO	9B224
			İ	5-DR LX W/2ND	ROW BUCKET AUTO	RL185	1	4WD 4-DR 5-SP WWHEEL PKG	9B315
			1					4WD 4-DR AUTO W/LEATHER	9B327
			1				1	4WD 4-DR EX AUTO	9B326 9B314
			1				1	4WD 4-DR LX 5-SP 4WD 4-DR LX AUTO	9B314 9B324
			1				1	4WD 4-DR LX AUTO W/WHEEL PKG	9B325
							l	THE TOTAL PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AND	



TRUCKS
PAGE 6 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 1998	N N	DECEMBER 31, 1998				DECEMBER 31, 1997			
MD!	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDI	BODY STYLE	CODE		
	1200. 01.122	1-ODE	1	1	1-002	1	1227.01.00	10002		
		-	1		_					
	INFINITI	Ĺ	1	INFINITI	ل	1	INFINITI			
						1				
QX4	4-DR LUXURY SUV	7101	1			1				
	4-DR LUXURT SUV	7101				1				
	ISUZU	7		ISUZU	7	1	ISUZU	$\neg$		
					_	1				
OM	BRE		AMIG	60		HOM	BRE			
	2WD SPACECAB S 5-SP	P05		2WD S.5-SP	B15	1	2WD REG CAB S AUTO	P14		
	2WD SPACECAB S AUTO	P04		2WD S HARDTOP 5-SP	E15	1	2WD REG CAB XS AUTO	P24		
1	2WD SPACECAB S V6 AUTO	P74		2WD S HARDTOP V6 AUTO	F14 A14	1	2WD SPACECAB XS AUTO 4WD REG CAB S 5-SP	P54 T35		
	4WD SPACECAB S V6 5-SP 4WD SPACECAB S V6 AUTO	T75 T74		2WD S V6 AUTO 4WD S 5-SP	C15	1	4WD SPACECAB S AUTO	T64		
RO	OPER	1/7		4WD S HARDTOP V6 AUTO	G14	ĺ	4WD SPACECAB XS 5-SP	T65		
	2WD LS AUTO	K64		4WD S V6 5-SP	D15	ROD				
	2WD LTD AUTO	K74		4WD S V6 AUTO	D14		2WD 4-DR (4 CYL) S 5-SP	P45		
	2WD S AUTO	K44	ROD			1	2WD 4-DR LS AUTO	R64		
EHI	CROSS		1	2WD 4-DR LS 5-SP	R55	1	2WD 4-DR S 5-SP	R45		
	2-DR AUTO	X74		2WD 4-DR LSE AUTO	R64	1	2WD 4-DR S AUTO	R44		
			TRO	4WD 4-DR LSE AUTO OPER	V64		4WD 4-DR LS 5-SP 4WD 4-DR LS AUTO	V65 V64		
			KO	4WD LS AUTO W/PERF PKG	M64	1	4WD 4-DR LS AUTO	V64 V45		
			1	THE LO ACTO WEEK PAG	- HOT	1	4WD 4-DR S 5-SF	V45 V44		
			1							
	JEEP	7	1	JEEP	7.	1	JEEP			
		_	l		_	1	A part of the second			
HEI	ROKEE		GRA	ND CHEROKEE		CHE	ROKEE			
	2-DR 2WD WAGON SPORT	XJTH72 SP	1	4-DR 2WD WAGON LAREDO	WJTL74 LAR	1	4-DR 2WD WAGON CLASSIC	XJTL74 CL		
	2-DR 4WD WAGON SPORT	XJJH72 SP	1	4-DR 2WD WAGON LIMITED	WJTL74 LTD	1	4-DR 2WD WAGON LIMITED	XJTL74 LTD		
	4-DR 2WD WAGON CLASSIC	XJTP74 CL		4-DR 4WD WAGON LAREDO	WJJL74 LAR	1	4-DR 4WD WAGON CLASSIC 4-DR 4WD WAGON LIMITED	XJJL74 CL XJJL74 LTD		
	4-DR 2WD WAGON LIMITED	XJTS74 LTD XJTH74 SP		4-DR 4WD WAGON LIMITED	WJJL74 LTD	GRA	ND CHEROKEE	A32/7 E1D		
	4-DR 2WD WAGON SPORT 4-DR 4WD WAGON CLASSIC	XJJP74 CL	1				4-DR 2WD WAGON TSI	ZJTL74 TSI		
	4-DR 4WD WAGON LIMITED	XJJS74 LTD	1			1	4-DR 4WD WAGON 5.9 LIMITED	ZJJL74 L5.9		
	4-DR 4WD WAGON SPORT	XJJH74 SP				1	4-DR 4WD WAGON TSI	ZJJL74 TSI		
/RA	NGLER					1				
	WRANGLER SAHARA	TJJP77 SA				1				
	WRANGLER SPORT	TJJH77 SPORT								
		7	1	KIA	7	1	KIA			
	KIA	1	İ	NA	3					
			SPO	RTAGE						
			-	4X2 2-DR DOHC CONVERT AUTO	42212	1				
				4X4 2-DR DOHC CONVERT 5-SP	42411	1				
		_	l		<b>-</b>	1	1440 0045004405 004	===		
	LAND ROVER/RANGE ROVER	ل	1	LAND ROVER/RANGE ROVER	J	1	LAND ROVER/RANGE ROVE	<u> </u>		
				D BOVER DISCOVERY		Diec	OVERY			
MA	GE ROVER	CYLD 4.0	LAN	D ROVER DISCOVERY  4-DR 4WD HARDTOP SD AUTO	SDVZ SD	Disc	4-DR HARDTOP 4WD LSE AUTO	SDVZLSE		
	4-DR 4WD 4.6 HSK	SXLD 4.0 SXLQ HSK	1	SERIES II W/CLOTH	SDVC	1	THE PARTY OF THE P			
	4-DR 4WD 4.6 HSK 4-DR 4WD 4.6 VITESSE	SXLQ VIT		SERIES II W/LEATHER	SDVL	1				
	4-DR 4WD COUNTY	SXLD CTY	RAN	GE ROVER		1				
			1	4-DR 4WD 4.0 SE	SXLD					
			1	4-DR 4WD 4.6 HSE	SXLQ	]				
		٦	1	15/16	7	1	LEXUS			
	LEXUS	_i	1	LEXUS		1	LEAUS			
			LX 4	70		1				
			-^ 4	LUXURY SPORT UTILITY AUTO	9620					
			1	LUXURY SPORT UTILITY AUTO CA/NY	9610	}				
			RX 3							
			1111							
	•		1	4-DR 4WD LUX SPORT UT AUTO	9424					



TRUCKS
PAGE 7 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 1999		DECEMBER 31,	1998	DECEMBER 31, 1	997
an:	BODYSTYLE	CODE	MDL BODYSTYLE	CODE	MAKE MDL BODY STYLE	CODE
UL	BOUTSTILE	CODE	MOL BODY STYLE	ICODE	MDL BODY STYLE	CODE
	LINCOLN	1	LINCOLN	<del></del>	LINCOLN	
	<u> </u>	J	<u> </u>			
					NAVIGATOR	
					4-DR 2WD WAGON	U27
					4-DR 4WD WAGON	U28
	MAZDA	ŀ	MAZDA		MAZDA	
		•				
SE	RIES PICKUP		B SERIES PICKUP		B SERIES PICKUP	
	4X2 B2500 REG CAB TL 5-SP	B25STL2P	4X2 B2500 CAB PLUS 4 SE 5-SP	B254SE2P	4X2 B2500 CAB PLUS SE 5-SP	B25CSE2P
	4X2 B3000 CAB PLUS 4 TL 5-SP	B304TL2P	4X2 B3000 CAB PLUS 4 SE 5-SP	B304SE2P	4X2 B2500 REG CAB SE 5-SP	B25SSE2P
	4X2 B3000 CAB PLUS 4 TL AUTO	B304TL2A	4X2 B3000 CAB PLUS 4 SE AUTO	B304SE2A	4X2 B2500 REG CAB SE AUTO	B25SSE2A
	4X2 B3000 REG CAB SE 5-SP	B30SSE2P	4X2 B4000 CAB PLUS 4 SE AUTO	B404SE2A	4X2 B2500 REG CAB SX 5-SP	B25SSX2P
	4X2 B3000 REG CAB SE AUTO	B30SSE2A	4X2 B4000 REG CAB SE 5-SP	B40SSE2P	4X2 B2500 REG CAB SX AUTO	B25SSX2A
	4X2 B3000 REG CAB SX 5-SP	B30SSX2P	4X4 B3000 CAB PLUS 4 SE 5-SP	B3X4SEXP	4X2 B3000 CAB PLUS SE 5-SP	B30CSE2P
	4X2 B3000 REG CAB SX AUTO	B30SSX2A	4X4 B3000 CAB PLUS 4 SE AUTO	B3X4SEXA	4X2 B3000 CAB PLUS SE AUTO	B30CSE2A
	4X4 B4000 CAB PLUS 4 TL 5-SP	B4X4TLXP	4X4 B4000 CAB PLUS 4 SE 5-SP	84X4SEXP	4X2 B4000 CAB PLUS SE 5-SP	B40CSE2P
	4X4 B4000 CAB PLUS 4 TL AUTO	B4X4TLXA	4X4 B4000 CAB PLUS 4 SE AUTO	B4X4SEXA	4X2 B4000 CAB PLUS SE AUTO	B40CSE2A
PV	- · · - · ·				4X4 B3000 CAB PLUS SE 5-SP	<b>B3XCSEXP</b>
	4-DR MINIVAN DX AUTO	MP2DXA	1		4X4 B3000 CAB PLUS SE AUTO	<b>B3XCSEXA</b>
	4-DR MINIVAN ES AUTO	MP2ESA			4X4 B3000 REG CAB SE 5-SP	<b>B3XSSEXP</b>
	4-DR MINIVAN LX AUTO	MP2LXA	1		4X4 B3000 REG CAB SE AUTO	<b>B3XSSEXA</b>
			1		4X4 B3000 REG CAB SX 5-SP	B3XSSXXP
					4X4 B4000 CAB PLUS SE 5-SP	<b>B4XCSEXP</b>
	•				4X4 B4000 CAB PLUS SE AUTO	B4XCSEXA
	MERCEDES		MERCEDES		MERCEDES	
			ļ., .,		l., .,	
CL	ASS		M CLASS		M CLASS	
	ML55 AMG 4-DR SPORT UTILITY	ML55	ML430 4-DR SPORT UTILITY	ML430	ML320 4-DR SPORT UTILITY	ML320
		ī		·		
	MERCURY		MERCURY		MERCURY	
ou	NTAINEER		VILLAGER		MOUNTAINEER	
	2WD WAGON	U <b>66</b>	4-DR WAGON BASE	V11	4-DR 4WD WAGON	U54
	4WD WAGON	U76	4-DR WAGON ESTATE	V11 EST		
	AWD WAGON	U86	4-DR WAGON SPORT	V11 SP		
LL	AGER		1			
	5-DR WAGON ESTATE	V12			1	
	5-DR WAGON SPORT	V14				
		1			14/70//0/0//	
	MITSUBISHI		MITSUBISHI		MITSUBISHI	
					MONTERO	
			MONTERO SPORT		MONTERO	MD45 D AUTO
			4-DR 2WD SPORT LS V6 AUTO	MT45-D AUTO	4-DR V6 AUTO	MP45-B AUTO
			4-DR 2WD SPORT LTD AUTO	MT45-P LTD	MONTERO SPORT	WT45 D 5 CD
			4-DR 2WD SPORT XLS V6 AUTO	MT45-G AUTO	4-DR 2WD ES 5-SP	MT45-B 5-SP
			4-DR 4WD SPORT LS V6 5-SP	MT45-F 5-SP	4-DR 2WD XLS V6 AUTO	MT45-P AUTO
			4-DR 4WD SPORT LS V6 AUTO	MT45-F AUTO		
			4-DR 4WD SPORT LTD AUTO	MT45-X LTD		
			4-DR 4WD SPORT XLS V6 AUTO	MT45-K AUTO		
		1		<del></del>	N/0044	
	NISSAN		NISSAN		NISSAN	
					EDOUTIED BIOKING	
२०	NTIER PICKUP		FRONTIER PICKUP		FRONTIER PICKUP	5000
	2WD CREW CAB V6 SE 5-SP	5315	4WD KING CAB V6 SE 5-SP	6365	2WD KING CAB SE 5-SP	5325
	2WD CREW CAB V6 SE AUTO	5311	4WD KING CAB V6 SE AUTO	6361	2WD KING CAB SE AUTO	5321
	2WD CREW CAB V6 XE 5-SP	5305	4WD KING CAB V6 XE 5-SP	6375	2WD KING CAB XE 5-SP	5355
	2WD CREW CAB V6 XE AUTO	5301	4WD KING CAB V6 XE AUTO	6371	2WD KING CAB XE AUTO	5351
		3315	QUEST		2WD REG CAB XE 5-SP	3355
	2WD KC DESERT RUNNER SE V6 5-SP		WAGON GLE AUTO	1051	2WD REG CAB XE AUTO	3351
	2WD KC DESERT RUNNER SE V6 5-SP 2WD KC DESERT RUNNER SE V6 AUTO	3311	WAGON GLE AGIO			
		3311 3305	WAGON GXE AUTO	1031	2WD STANDARD 5-SP	3305
	2WD KC DESERT RUNNER SE V6 AUTO	3305	•	1031 1041	4WD KING CAB SE 5-SP	5335
	2WD KC DESERT RUNNER SE V6 AUTO 2WD KC DESERT RUNNER XE V6 5-SP	3305	WAGON GXE AUTO		l .	



TRUCKS
PAGE 8 OF 9

CODE	MDL E	MAKE BODY STYLE	CODE	MAKE MDL BODY STYLE   CODE
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	IAAAE	THE ISOURCE ICODE
	1 -			
	1 L	NISSAN		NISSAN
			h.	
6305	1			
6301	j			
0024	1			
	1			
				.
	1	•		
	}			
0971	İ			
0961	l			İ
	1			
1051	1			
0435	l			
0431				. 1
	1			
	İ			
0421				
7	Ιr	OLDSMOBILE		OLDSMOBILE
	-			
	SILHO	VETTE		SILHOUETTE
	4	-DR MINIVAN PREMIER ED EXT	3UM16 PR	4-DR MINIVAN GS REG WB 1SB 3UN16 1SI
	l			
	_ ا		<del></del> 1	51/3/01/7/
	L	PLYMOUTH		PLYMOUTH
				CDAND VOVACED
	1			GRAND VOYAGER
	l			MPV EXPRESSO FWD NSHH53 E
	į.			VOYAGER MPV EXPRESSO FWD NSHH52 E
	l			MPV EXPRESSO FVVD NSHI32 E
	1			
$\neg$	1 -	PONTIAC		PONTIAC
	-	7 0.77.7.0		
	1			TRANS SPORT
2UV16				4-DR MINIVAN 1SF CWOI 2UN16 1SI
20110	1			4-DR MINIVAN 1SG CWOI 2UN16 1S
	1			4-DR MINIVAN 1SH CWOI 2UN16 1SI
	1			4-DR MINIVAN EXT WB 1SH CWOI 2UM16 1S
	1			4-DR MINIVAN SE REG WB 2UN16
	1			1
_	l _			
	1 [	SUBARU		SUBARU
				FORFOTER
	1			FORESTER CA
CA	1			4-DR AWD 5-SP CA 4-DR L AWD 5-SP CB
	1			4-DR L AWD 5-SP CB
	1			4-DR S AWD 5-SP CD
CD	1			4-DR S AWD AUTO CE
				4-DR S C/PKG AWD 5-SP CF
	1			4-DR S C/PKG AWD AUTO CG
	1			
	1			1
	0961 1051 0435 0431 0405 0415 0411 0445 0441 0425 0421	0931 0945 0941 0921 0981 0975 0971 0961 1051 0435 0431 0405 0415 0411 0445 0441 0425 0421  SILHO  4  CA CB CC	0931 0945 0941 0921 0981 0975 0971 0961 1051 0435 0431 0405 0415 0411 0445 0441 0425 0421    OLDSMOBILE   SILHOUETTE   A-DR MINIVAN PREMIER ED EXT	0931 0945 0941 0921 0981 0975 0971 0961 1051 1051 0435 0431 0405 0415 0411 0445 0441 0425 0421    SILHOUETTE  4-DR MINIVAN PREMIER ED EXT  SUM16 PR  PLYMOUTH  PONTIAC  2UV16  SUBARU  CA CB CC

TRUCKS
PAGE 9 OF 9

	DECEMBER 31, 1999 MAKE	<del></del>		DECEMBER 31, 199	0	DECEMBER 31, 1997	
MDL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MDL	BODY STYLE	CODE	MAKE MDL BODY STYLE	CODE
		7				IMPE   BOBT STILE	CODE
	SUZUKI			SUZUKI		SUZUKI	
3RAI	ND VITARA		GPA	ND VITARA		PIDEKIOK	
	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP LTD AUTO	LFN89W	10.00	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JS 5-SP	LFN86F	SIDEKICK 4-DR 2WD HARDTOP SPORT JS 5-SP	LSL77C
	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP LTD AUTO	LJN89W	1	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JS AUTO	LFN89F	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP SPORT JS 5-SP	LSL77C
VITA	RA		- 1	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JS PLUS 5-SP	LFN86T	TOTAL PROPERTY OF ONLY SO AGEO	LOCIOO
	2-DR 2WD 1.6 JS S/TOP AUTO	FME69C	ļ	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JS PLUS AUTO	LFN89T		
	2-DR 2WD 1.6 S/TOP 5-SP	FME66C	1	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JLX 5-SP	LJN86F	<b>!</b>	
	2-DR 2WD 2.0 JLS S/TOP 5-SP 2-DR 2WD 2.0 S/TOP JLS AUTO	FME83F FM384F	- 1	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JLX AUTO 4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JLX PLUS 5-SP	LJN89F LJN86T		
	2-DR 4WD 1.6 S/TOP JX 5-SP	FGE66C	- 1	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JLX PLUS AUTO	LJN89T		
	2-DR 4WD 1.6 S/TOP JX AUTO	FGE69C	ļ		20.1001		
	2-DR 4WD 2.0 S/TOP JLX 5-SP	FGE83F	l				
	2-DR 4WD 2.0 S/TOP JLX AUTO	FGE84F	1				
	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JLS 5-SP 4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JLS AUTO	LLN83E LLN84E	- 1				
	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JLS PLUS 5-SP	LLN83F	1				
	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JLS PLUS AUTO	LLN84F					
	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP JS 5-SP	LLN83C					
	4-DR 2WD HARDTOP II Y 6 SP	LLN84C	}				
	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JLX 5-SP 4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JLX AUTO	LKN83E LKN84E					
	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JLX PLUS 5-SP	LKN83F	ı				
	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JX 5-SP	LKN83C	Į.				
	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JX AUTO	LKN84C					
	4-DR 4WD HARDTOP JX PLUS AUTO	LKN84F	- 1				
			ı				
	TOYOTA	٦ .		TOYOTA	7	TOYOTA	7
		_1	'	101012		101014	J
TUNE	)RA		LAND	CRUISER		RAV4	
	2WD ACCESS CAB LTD V8 AUTO	7738	- 1	WAGON AUTO	6156	2WD 2-DR SOFT TOP 5-SP	4415
	2WD ACCESS CAB SR5 5-SP	7721	SIENI			2WD 2-DR SOFT TOP AUTO	4414
	2WD ACCESS CAB SR5 AUTO	7722		4-DR CARGO VAN AUTO	5362	4WD 2-DR SOFT TOP 5-SP	4425
	2WD ACCESS CAB SR5 V8 AUTO 2WD REG CAB 5-SP	7728 7711	TACC	5-DR MINIVAN CE AUTO  MA PICKUP	5324	4WD 2-DR SOFT TOP AUTO	4424
	2WD REG CAB AUTO	7710	1000	2WD PRERUNNER REG CAB AUTO	7132	4-DR MINIVAN CE AUTO	5322
	4WD ACCESS CAB LTD V8 AUTO	7838	ı	2WD PRERUNNER XTRACAB 4-CYL 5-S		4-DR MINIVAN LE AUTO	5332
	4WD ACCESS CAB SR5 5-SP	7821		2WD PRERUNNER XTRACAB V6 AUTO	7164	5-DR MINIVAN LE AUTO	5334
	4WD ACCESS CAB SR5 AUTO	7822	- 1			5-DR MINIVAN XLE AUTO	5344
	4WD ACCESS CAB SR5 V8 AUTO	7828					
	4WD REG CAB SR5 5-SP	7811	1 ' :				
	4WD REG CAB SR5 AUTO 4WD REG CAB SR5 V8 AUTO	7810 7818					
	THE RES GREEN TO TO TO	7010	- [			1	
			ļ			İ	
	VOLKSWAGEN	]	[ ]	VOLKSWAGEN		VOLKSWAGEN	]
		_	L				_
			EURC				
				CONVERSION VAN AUTO	7DH1L3 7DC2L3	İ	
				VAN GLS AUTO VAN MV AUTO	7DC2L3 7DCML3		
			1	TOTAL MET NOTO	LOWES		
			$\top$				
	<del></del>						
				%			
	<del></del>		$\dashv$	*			
			$\top$				
			4			•	



Description of Documents Requested:  I did not see an M-1 adjustment for the LIFO reserve.	ed to:
Dates of Description of Documents Requested:  I did not see an M-1 adjustment for the LIFO reserve.	f Previous Requests:
Description of Documents Requested:  I did not see an M-1 adjustment for the LIFO reserve.	
I did not see an M-1 adjustment for the LIFO reserve.	Wouldn't this be
I did not see an M-1 adjustment for the LIFO reserve.	Wouldn't this be
necessary as with any other reserve? Please explain.	
>	
•	
Information Due By 3/23 At Next Appointment	Mail In X
Name and Title of Requestor	Date: March 13, 2000
Internal Revenue Agent	
FROM Office Location: Phone: Vo	
Form 4564	Page 1

Message: I THOUGHT YOU'D APPRECIATE A GOOD CHUCKLE IN THE DARK DAYS OF TAX SEASON. I RECEIVED THIS IDR FROM AN AGENT IN OUR AREA REGARDING THE AUDIT OF ONE OF OUR DEALER CLIENTS. ENJOY.

The De Filipps' LIFO Lookout newsletter is a quarterly publication of LIFO News, Views and Ideas by Willard J. De Filipps, CPA, P.C., 317 West Prospect Avenue, Mt. Prospect, IL 60056. It is intended to provide accurate, general information on LIFO matters and it should not be construed as offering accounting or legal advice or accounting or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only. Readers should consult their certified public accountant, attorney and/or other competent advisors to discuss their own situations and specific LIFO questions. Mechanical or electronic reproduction or photocopying is prohibited without permission of the publisher. Annual subscription: \$395. Back issues available for \$70 each. Not assignable without consent. Any quoted material must be attributed to De Filipps LIFO Lookout published by Willard J. De Filipps, CPA, P.C. Editorial comments and article suggestions are welcome and should be directed to Willard J. De Filipps at (847) 577-3977; FAX (847) 577-1073. INTERNET: http://www.defilipps.com. © Copyright 2000 Willard J. De Filipps.

De Filipps' LIFO Lookout format designed by Publish or Pensh, Inc. (630) 627-7227.

PLEASE NOTE: All articles and the entire contents of this publication are the proprietary intellectual property of the author and publisher, Willard J. De Filipps. No article, nor any portion of this publication, is to be reproduced or distributed without the express written authorization of Willard J. De Filipps. Any prior permission to reproduce and/or distribute, unless expressed in a written document, is null and void.

De Filipps' LIFO LOOKOUT

Willard J. De Filipps, C.P.A., P.C. 317 West Prospect Avenue Mt. Prospect, IL 60056

First-class postage paid at Mt. Prospect, IL

